ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation

City of
Manchester
Manchester, TN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I INTRODUCTION ..... - 2 -
II OVERVIEW OF POLICIES AND STANDARDS ..... - 2 -
III PROGRAM MILESTONES ..... - 2 -
IV SELF-EVALUATION ..... - 4 -
a. CURB RAMPS ..... - 4 -
b. SIDEWALKS ..... - 4 -
c. CROSSWALKS ..... - 5 -
d. MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS/FACILITIES ..... - 5 -
e. HOUSING FACILITIES ..... - 5 -
V TRANSITION PLAN ..... - 5 -
a. OVERVIEW ..... - 5 -
b. PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA ..... - 6 -
c. INSPECTION SUMMARY FORMS ..... - 7 -
d. RECOMMENDED SCHEDULE AND FUNDING ..... - 7 -
e. MAPPING ..... - 8 -
VI PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ..... - 8 -
a. ADA POLICIES ..... - 8 -
b. PUBLIC NOTICES AND HEARINGS ..... - 8 -
VII CONFORMANCE WITH MASTER PLANS ..... - 9 -
VIII CONCLUSION ..... - 9 -
APPENDICES ..... - 10 -

I INTRODUCTION

The City of Manchester received notice in November 2016 of the requirement by the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) for every city and county with 50 employees or more to prepare a self-evaluation and transition plan related to compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). The letter to the City Mayor is provided in Appendix A. The notification outlines the established deadlines over the next three years for complying with the state and federal requirements.

This report provides the required documentation to TDOT related to ADA compliance and represents the Transition Plan.

II OVERVIEW OF POLICIES AND STANDARDS

TDOT has provided to the City of Manchester a copy of the letter dated September 28, 2016 from the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to TDOT outlining the federal requirements for Local Public Agencies to prepare ADA Transition Plans. A copy of this letter is included in Appendix B.

The letter outlines that FHWA has issued a Statewide Planning Finding for Tennessee to certify that the transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with the American Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504). The Statewide Action Plan is to document that Local Public Agencies are achieving compliance with these federal requirements. If cities and counties do not comply with the requirements, their transportation planning is deemed to be out of compliance with the laws, and state and federal funding may be withheld from the cities and counties.

In summary, the local public agencies must prepare a self-evaluation of their public facilities including right-of-way infrastructure such as curb ramps, sidewalk, and crosswalks as well as public office buildings and facilities to document areas that do not meet the ADA standards. Once the self-evaluations are performed, the cities and counties must prepare an ADA Transition Plan that documents the deficiencies, defines how the deficiencies can be corrected, identifies a timeline for correction, establishes an estimated cost for improvements, and identifies what person in that organization is responsible for ensuring the corrective actions are made.

III PROGRAM MILESTONES

TDOT has established the schedule for the City of Manchester to adhere to for submission of compliance documentation. The deadlines are as follows:

December 2016

- Communities with 50 employees or more in their jurisdiction must provide TDOT with documentation stating they have named a qualified person as their ADA Coordinator.

- Communities must provide TDOT the Coordinator's contact information.

- Communities must develop and publish an ADA grievance procedure.

December 2017

- Communities must provide a written letter from the Mayor outlining the development of their Transition Plan

- Letter from the Mayor must be adopted by the City Council or County Commissioners using the City's or County's own procedures.

September 2018

- Communities must provide documentation showing they have completed or are making significant progress towards completing an ADA Transition Plan and Self Evaluation.

- All self-certification forms are expected to be returned to TDOT.
  Note: TDOT projects may not be advanced within the city or county without self-certification form.

December 2019

- FHWA will require all Transition Plans be completed prior to communities resigning TIP certification.

The City of Manchester met the December 2016 milestone by establishing Mr. Jamie Sain, Director of Health and Codes, as their ADA Coordinator and also developing and publishing an ADA grievance procedure. The documentation as submitted by the City to TDOT in December 2016 is provided in Appendix C.

The City of Manchester met the December 2017 milestone by providing a written letter from Mayor Lonnie Norman to TDOT outlining the development of their Transition Plan. This letter was adopted by the City Board of Mayor and Alderman and the documentation as submitted by the City to TDOT in December 2017 is provided in Appendix D.

The City of Manchester met the September 2018 milestone by providing documentation to TDOT that they made significant progress towards completing an ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation. This documentation as submitted by the City to TDOT in September 2018 is provided in Appendix E.

The timely submission of the documentation by the City of Manchester to TDOT, including this ADA Transition Plan, places TDOT and the City in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 504 and ensures that state and federal funding to the City will not be impacted.

IV SELF-EVALUATION

A self-evaluation inspection as performed by the City of Manchester evaluated the following:

i. Programs, Policies and Practices
ii. Design standards
iii. All sidewalks, curb ramps, and intersections
iv. Public Rights of Way
v. Pedestrian facilities including Accessible Pedestrian Signals (APS)
vi. Transit stops/operations
vii. Buildings/facilities
viii. Parks and all park programs and amenities including swimming pools, playgrounds, areas of sports activity
ix. Public parking lots
x. Housing Programs
xi. Emergency Planning
xii. Hiring/firing practices and Job Descriptions
xiii. Boards and Commissions
xiv. Website
xv. Other forms of communication

The results of these self-evaluations are documented, and where applicable, the inspection forms are included in the Appendices. More detailed descriptions of the self-evaluations are described in the following sections.

a. CURB RAMPS

Inspections of all curb ramps in public rights-of-way and on public facilities, parking lots, and parks were performed by the City staff. The self-evaluation inspection forms for curb ramps are included in Appendix G.

Curb ramp deficiencies are documented in the inspection forms and summarized in Appendix H in this report. The location of each curb ramp is documented in system maps provided in Appendix N.

b. SIDEWALKS

Inspection of all sidewalks in public rights-of-way and on public facilities, parking lots, and parks were performed by City staff. The self-evaluation inspection forms for sidewalks are included in Appendix I.

Sidewalk deficiencies are documented in the inspection forms and summarized in Appendix J in this report. The location of each sidewalk is documented in system maps provided in Appendix N.

c. CROSSWALKS

Inspection of all crosswalks in public rights-of-way and on public facilities, parking lots, and parks were performed by City staff. The self-evaluation inspection forms for crosswalks are included in Appendix K.

Crosswalk deficiencies are documented in the inspection forms and summarized in Appendix L in this report. The location of each crosswalk is documented in system maps provided in Appendix N.

d. MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS/FACILITIES

All municipal buildings and municipal facilities were inspected for ADA compliance. The following buildings/facilities were inspected by City staff:

i. Manchester City Hall
ii. Manchester Public Works Department Building
iii. Manchester Recreation Complex
iv. Dave King Park and buildings
v. Fred Deadman Park and buildings
vi. Manchester Soccer Park and buildings
vii. College Street Elementary School
viii. Westwood Elementary School
ix. Westwood Middle School
x. Manchester Fire Department
xi. Manchester Water & Sewer Department

The self-evaluation inspection deficiency summary is provided in Appendix M. The location of each building/facility is documented in a system map provided in Appendix N.

e. HOUSING FACILITIES

Manchester Housing Authority facilities are inspected for ADA compliance under separate requirements and reported directly by that agency. The housing facilities were not inspected under this self-evaluation effort and not included in this ADA Transition Plan.

V TRANSITION PLAN

a. OVERVIEW

This Transition Plan documents the deficiencies, defines how the deficiencies can be corrected, prioritizes the improvements, identifies a timeline for correction, establishes an estimated cost for improvements, and identifies what person in the organization is responsible for ensuring the corrective actions are made. The Plan also identifies the source of funding for the improvements.

The Manchester Board of Mayor and Aldermen have reviewed and approved this plan by Resolution #85-2019 on 11/05/2019 as included in Appendix R. This City identifies that

$_____ will be committed to the correction of ADA deficiencies and to comply with the American Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504).

b. PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA

The following priority ranking criteria have been established and adhered to during the self-evaluation inspections and the Transition Plan recommendations. The priority ranking criteria is as follows:

1. CURB RAMPS

PRIORITY	SITUATION
Highest 1A	Existing curb ramp with running slope greater than 12% and location near a school, government building, hospital, or similar facility
1B	No curb ramp where a sidewalk or pedestrian path exists and location near a school, government building, hospital, or similar facility
2A	An existing curb ramp with a running slope greater than 12% (Not located near a school or similar facility)
2B	No curb ramp where a sidewalk or pedestrian path exists (Not located near a school or similar facility)
3	No curb ramp where a striped crosswalk exists
4	One curb ramp per corner and another is needed to serve the other crossing direction
5A	An existing curb ramp with either a running slope greater than 1 to 12 or an insufficient landing
5B	An existing curb ramp with obstructions in the ramp or landing
5C	An existing curb ramp with any of the following conditions: - A cross slope greater than 2% - A width less than 36 inches - A lip at the curb of greater than ½ inch
5D	An existing curb ramp with returned curbs where pedestrian travel across the curb is not permitted
5E	An existing diagonal curb ramp without the 48-inch extension in the crosswalk
5F	An existing curb ramp without detectable warnings or without detectable warnings with color contrast
5G	The pedestrian push button is not accessible from the sidewalk or from the ramp
6	ADA compliant or technically infeasible to be ADA compliant curb ramp

2. SIDEWALKS

PRIORITY	SITUATION
Highest 1	Existing sidewalk with defects located near a school, government building, hospital, or similar facility
2	Existing sidewalk with excessive defects (3 or more in the non-conformance details section) (Not located near a school, government building, hospital, or similar facility)
3	Existing sidewalk with minor defects (2 or less in the non-conformance details section) (Not located near a school, government building, hospital, or similar facility)
4	ADA compliant or technically infeasible to be ADA compliant sidewalk

3. CROSSWALKS

PRIORITY	SITUATION
Highest 1	No crosswalk located near a school, government building, hospital, or similar facility
2	Existing crosswalk with defects located near a school, government building, hospital, or similar facility
3	No crosswalk (Not located near a school or similar facility
4	Existing crosswalk with defects (Not located near a school or similar facility)
5	ADA compliant or technically infeasible to be ADA compliant crosswalk

4. MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS/FACILITIES

PRIORITY	SITUATION
Highest 1	Approach and Entrance (includes parking, exterior routes, entrance doors, etc.)
2	Access to Goods & Services (includes interior routes, interior doors, service counter, seating, etc.)
3	Toilet Rooms
4	Additional Access (includes drinking fountains, alarms, pay phones, etc.)

c. INSPECTION SUMMARY FORMS

The self-evaluation inspections were performed by City staff on all curb ramps, sidewalks, crosswalks, and municipal buildings/facilities within the City. Examples of inspection forms are included in Appendix F.

d. RECOMMENDED SCHEDULE AND FUNDING

The ADA Transition Plan Summary is included in Appendix H – Curb Ramps, Appendix J – Sidewalks, Appendix L – Crosswalks, and Appendix M – Municipal Buildings/Facilities. The specific non-conforming items are listed in order of priority

on the summary spreadsheets for curb ramps, sidewalks, crosswalks, and municipal buildings/facilities.

The Plan outlines that the deficiencies identified will be corrected to meet ADA requirements over a 15-year period. The deficiency items have a completion target date assigned to them spanning over this 15-year period. The anticipated sources of funding for the improvements are listed in the summary spreadsheets.

e. MAPPING

For each non-conforming item listed in the summary spreadsheets, GIS mapping has been prepared to identify the location of the planned improvements. The maps are included in Appendix N.

VI PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

a. ADA POLICIES

The City of Manchester, in an effort to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, has developed and adopted an ADA Policy for all City Departments and the Board of Mayor and Aldermen to adhere to. The Policy is included in Appendix P.

The City has also developed an ADA Grievance Procedure for the public and staff to be able to report any complaints alleging discrimination on the basis of disability in the provisions of services, activities, programs or benefits by the City of Manchester. The procedure is provided in Appendix C.

The City has an ADA committee that reviews grievances and resulting policy revisions for the City Board to review and act upon. The ADA Committee documentation is provided in Appendix P.

In an effort to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and enforce ADA requirements, the City has adopted the International Building Code including Chapter 11, Accessibility, and enforces the code to ensure ADA requirements are met on all new construction.

b. PUBLIC NOTICES AND HEARINGS

Public input on the ADA Policy, ADA Grievance Procedure, and ADA Transition Plan has been obtained in a public meeting advertised and held on October 30, 2019 at City Hall and attended by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen. Public involvement and input from agencies such as the Coffee County Veterans Organization has been sought. The sign-in list and comment sheet from the public meeting are included in Appendix Q.

VII CONFORMANCE WITH MASTER PLANS

A number of master planning documents were reviewed during the development of this Transition Plan to ensure conformance with long-term planning recommendations related to pedestrian transportation facilities. Master plans reviewed for conformance included the following and are included in Appendix O:

- Manchester Capital Projects Plan for Pedestrian & Bicyclist Facilities – 2018
- Manchester Greenway Master Plan – May 2018
- Major Thoroughfare Plan / Manchester Transportation Master Plan – 2018
- TDOT Urban Functional Classification System Map, City of Manchester – 2018
- Coffee County Major Thoroughfare Plan – 2008
- Manchester Bicycle Corridor Plan (Draft)
- Manchester Pedestrian Corridor Plan (Draft)

Corrective actions on non-conforming curb ramps, sidewalks, crosswalks, and municipal facilities identified in the Transition Plan will be implemented to adhere to the location, widths, material types, and layout identified in the master planning documents outlined above.

VIII CONCLUSION

This Transition Plan identifies all non-conforming infrastructure within the public rights-of-ways and municipal buildings/facilities of the City of Manchester and establishes corrective actions. These include corrections to curb ramps, sidewalks, crosswalks, and buildings/facilities to meet federal standards including the American Disabilities Act of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The Transition Plan outlines funding and timelines for the correction of these deficiencies to ensure that persons of any disability have equal access within the streets, parks, and municipal buildings of the City of Manchester.

APPENDICES

A. TDOT Letter to Mayor Norman – Dated November 14, 2016
B. USDOT FHA Letter to TDOT – Dated September 28, 2016
C. Program Milestone – December 2016
D. Program Milestone – December 2017
E. Program Milestone – September 2018
F. Inspection Report Form Examples
G. Completed Inspection Report Forms – Curb Ramps
H. Deficiency Summary – Curb Ramps
I. Completed Inspection Report Forms – Sidewalks
J. Deficiency Summary – Sidewalks
K. Completed Inspection Report Forms – Crosswalks
L. Deficiency Summary – Crosswalks
M. Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities
N. Deficiency Mapping
O. Master Planning Maps
P. ADA Policy and ADA Committee
Q. Public Meeting Sign-In List and Comments
R. Resolution #85-2019 Dated 11/05/2019 – ADA Transition Plan Adoption

APPENDIX A

TDOT LETTER TO MAYOR NORMAN – DATED NOVEMBER 14, 2016

STATE OF TENNESSEE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING
SUITE 700, JAMES K. POLK BUILDING
505 DEADERICK STREET
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-1402
(615) 741-0791

JOHN C. SCHROER
COMMISSIONER

BILL HASLAM
GOVERNOR

November 14, 2016

Mayor Lonnie Norman
200 West Fort Street
Manchester, TN 37355

RE: Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Compliance and Self-Certification

Mayor Lonnie Norman:

Attached you will find a letter from the Federal Highway Administration Tennessee Division, in which the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) has been informed we must ensure every city and county with fifty (50) employees or more must have an ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation in order to receive Transportation Funds.

This letter also serves as notice of the deadline for submission of compliance documentation. The deadlines for submission are as follows:

December 2016

- Communities with 50 employees or more in their jurisdiction must provide TDOT with documentation stating they have named a qualified person as their ADA Coordinator
- Communities must provide TDOT the Coordinator's contact information
- Communities must develop and publish an ADA grievance procedure

December 2017

- Communities must provide a written letter from the Mayor outlining the development of their Transition Plan
- Letter from the Mayor must be adopted by the City Council or County Commissioners using the city's or county's own procedures

September 2018

- Communities must provide documentation showing they have completed or are making significant progress towards completing an ADA Transition Plan and Self Evaluation
- All self-certification forms are expected to be returned to TDOT.
  Note: Projects may not be advanced within the city or county without this self-certification form

December 2019

- FHWA will require all Transition Plans be completed prior to communities resigning TIP certification

Submission of these deliverables will place TDOT and your community in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 504. Following submission, the ADA Transition Plan Form will be received by Deborah Fleming, TDOT's Senior Regional Planner and Margaret Mahler, TDOT's ADA Coordinator. Copies of this form must accompany all applications for funding.

For additional information concerning ADA requirements, please contact Ms. Margaret Zeman Mahler, ADA Coordinator for TDOT, at Margaret.Z.Mahler@tn.gov .

Sincerely,

Paul D. Degges

Paul D. Degges, P.E.
Deputy Commissioner/Chief Engineer

APPENDIX B

USDOT FHWA LETTER TO TDOT –
DATED SEPTEMBER 28, 2016

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Tennessee Division

404 BNA Drive, Suite 508
Nashville, Tennessee 37217
Phone (615) 781-5770

September 28, 2016

In Reply Refer To:
HDA-TN

Mr. Paul Degges
Deputy Commissioner/Chief Engineer
Tennessee Department of Transportation
James K. Polk Building, Suite 700
Nashville, TN 37243-0349

Subject: Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plans for Local Public Agencies

Dear Mr. Degges:

By December 16, 2016, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) will issue a Statewide Planning Finding for Tennessee which certifies that the transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements, including compliance with the Americans with Disability Act of 1990 (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504).

To come into conformance with ADA and Section 504, the FHWA Tennessee Division is requiring by December 1, 2016:

- All affected Local Public Agencies (LPAs) (those with 50 or more employees) shall identify an ADA Coordinator and
- TDOT shall develop a Statewide Action Plan that defines when each LPA will complete their self-evaluation and individual ADA Transition Plan.

Creation of the Statewide Action Plan will document that LPAs are making satisfactory progress to achieve timely compliance with all federal requirements. The State and affected LPAs' ADA Transition Plans must be completed prior to Federal approval of the Fiscal Year 2020-2023 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and 2020 Statewide Planning Finding in December 2019. Failure to adhere to the requirements of the ADA and Section 504 could result in a future Statewide Planning Finding corrective action or partial federal approval of the STIP covering only a portion of the State.

We understand that some LPAs are questioning the need to develop an ADA Transition Plan. We would like to emphasize that ADA and Section 504 requires the design and construction of existing or proposed transportation facilities be accessible to those with disabilities. Affected LPAs may face liability for ADA lawsuits if they have non-compliant facilities. Please find enclosed a list of some references that may assist TDOT and LPAs in addressing the ADA Transition Plan requirement.

We recognize the efforts that TDOT has taken to ensure LPAs conform to all ADA and Section 504 regulations; the ADA brochure and training sessions are great tools. If you need any additional assistance with this matter, please contact Joi Hamilton-Jones at (615) 781-5790 or by email at Joi.HamiltonJones@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

Sabrina David

Sabrina David, AICP
Assistant Division Administrator

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Joe Galbato, Deputy Commissioner/Chief Financial Officer, TDOT
Mr. Toks Omishakin, Deputy Commissioner/Chief of Environment and Planning, TDOT
Mr. Chuck Rychen, Assistant Chief Engineer of Operations, TDOT
Mr. Jeff Jones, Assistant Chief Engineer of Design, TDOT
Ms. Tanisha Hall, Long Range Planning Director, TDOT
Ms. Deborah Luter, Civil Rights Director, TDOT
Ms. Whitney Sullivan, Transportation Manager 2, TDOT
Ms. Margaret Mahler, ADA Coordinator, TDOT
Ms. Jennifer Hibbert, Director, Office of Planning & Program Development, FTA Region 4

Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plan Resources

Federal-aid Essentials for Local Public Agencies: Civil Rights, Americans with Disability Act Transition Plans
http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/federal-aidessentials/catmod.cfm?id=32

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)/Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (504)
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/programs/ada.cfm

Questions and Answers About ADA/Section 504
http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/programs/ada_sect504qa.cfm

National Cooperative Highway Research Program Project Number 20-7 (232): ADA Transition Plans: A Guide to Best Management Practices, Jacobs Engineering Group, May 2009
http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/docs/NCHRP20-07(232)_FR.pdf

APPENDIX C

PROGRAM MILESTONE – DECEMBER 2016

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Local Agency ADA Compliance Certification

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) is required by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to monitor sub-recipients who receive TDOT assistance (local governments, contractors, consultants or groups) to ensure compliance with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 with respect to TDOT funded (both Federal and State assistance) projects and programs. 28 CFR 35.130(b)(1)(v) and 49CFR27.7(V)

Deadlines have been established to prove compliance. TDOT has listed the deadlines below with the required elements listed. Please submit all information to TDOT via e-mail. The FHWA has indicated they may not allow federal transportation funds, including State Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program and Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) grants, to be spent in your community after 2019 until this work has been developed.

BEFORE December 1, 2016

Physical Address of Agency

City of Manchester

Agency Name

200 W. Fort St.

Street

Manchester	Coffee	37355
City	County	Zip Code

Name of ADA Coordinator or Contact for ADA Questions

Jamie Sain	Codes Director
Name of ADA Coordinator	Title
931-723-1464	jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone Number	E-mail Address

A copy of the ADA Grievance Procedure

Please provide the location(s) of where a person may find a copy of your ADA Grievance Procedure. (web address, public notices, etc.)

www.cityofmanchestertn.com

City Of Manchester
Grievance Procedure under
The Americans with Disabilities Act

This Grievance Procedure is established to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"). It may be used by anyone who wishes to file a complaint alleging discrimination on the basis of disability in the provision of services, activities, programs, or benefits by the **City of Manchester.** The **City of Manchester's** Personnel Policy governs employment-related complaints of disability discrimination.

The complaint should be in writing and contain information about the alleged discrimination such as name, address, phone number of complainant and location, date and description of the problem. Alternative means of filing complaints, such as personal interviews or a tape recording of the complaint, will be made available for persons with disabilities upon request. The complaint should be submitted by the grievant and/or his/her designee as soon as possible but no later than 60 calendar days after the alleged violation to:

Jamie Sain
ADA Coordinator
City of Manchester
200 W. Fort St.
Manchester TN 37355

Within 15 calendar days after receipt of the complaint, **Jamie Sain**, **ADA Coordinator** or his designee will meet with the complainant to discuss the complaint and the possible resolutions. Within 15 calendar days of meeting, **Jamie Sain, ADA Coordinator** or his designee will respond in writing, and where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant, such as large print, Braille, or audio tape. The response will explain the position of the **City Of Manchester** and offer options for substantive resolution of the complaint.

If the response by **Jamie Sain, ADA Coordinator** or his designee does not satisfactorily resolve the issue, the complainant and/or his/her designee may appeal the decision with 15 calendar days after receipt of the response to the **City Mayor** or his/her designee.

Within 15 calendar days after receipt of the appeal, the **City Mayor** or his/her designee will meet with the complainant to discuss the complaint and possible resolutions. Within 15 calendar days after the meeting, the **City Mayor** or his/her designee will respond in writing, and where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant, with a final resolution of the complaint.

All written complaints received by **Jamie Sain, ADA Coordinator** or his designee, appeals to the **City Mayor** or his/her designee, and responses from these two offices will be retained by the **City of Manchester** for at least three years.

NOTICE UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

In accordance with the requirements of title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), the City of Manchester, will not discriminate against qualified individuals with disabilities on the basis of disability in its services, programs, or activities.

Employment: The City does not discriminate on the basis of disability in its hiring or employment practices and complies with all regulations promulgated by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission under title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Effective Communication: The City will generally, upon request, provide appropriate aids and services leading to effective communication for qualified persons with disabilities so they can participate equally in City program, services, and activities, including qualified sign language interpreters, documents in Braille, and other ways of making information and communications accessible to people who have speech, hearing, or vision impairments.

Modifications to Policies and Procedures: The City will make all reasonable modifications to policies and programs to ensure that people with disabilities have an equal opportunity to enjoy all City programs, services, and activities. For example, individuals with service animals are welcomed in City offices, even where pets are generally prohibited.

Anyone who requires an auxiliary aid or service for effective communication, or a modification of policies or procedures to participate in a City program, service or activity, should contact the office of **Jamie Sain, ADA Coordinator at 931-723-1464** as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours before the scheduled event.

The ADA does not require the City to take any action that would fundamentally alter the nature of its programs or services, or impose an undue financial or administrative burden.

Complaints that a City program, service, or activity is not accessible to persons with disabilities should be directed to **Jamie Sain, ADA Coordinator at 931-723-1464.**

The City will not place a surcharge on a particular individual with a disability or any group of individuals with disabilities to cover the cost of providing auxiliary aids/services or reasonable modifications of policy, such as retrieving items from locations that are open to the public but are not accessible to persons who use wheelchairs.

APPENDIX D

PROGRAM MILESTONE – DECEMBER 2017

3-STAR COMMUNITY
Award Recipient for Economic Preparedness

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
City of Manchester

TELEPHONE (931) 728-4652 • FAX (931) 728-8244

Lonnie J Norman
Mayor

200 West Fort Street
Manchester, TN 37355

November 7, 2017

Margaret Zeman Mahler

Chief Engineer's Office

Tennessee Dept. of Transportation

505 Deaderick Street #400

Nashville, TN 37243

Ms. Mahler:

In accordance with both Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the City of Manchester must complete an ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan. The City of Manchester has started working to complete the ADA Self-Evaluation. We have done the self-evaluation for all City buildings, but we have not started evaluating the City's right-of-way. We have compiled sidewalk maps and created an inventory.

The City of Manchester contracts most of its engineering work with St. John Engineering. St. John Engineering has agreed to help us with our ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan, if needed. Also, Jamie Sain, the City's ADA Coordinator, will be attending TTAP training on November 30, 2017, in Nashville to hopefully receive some insight on how to complete this process. We are waiting until after this training to move on with the rest of our self-evaluation.

We plan to begin the self-evaluation of right-of-way by the end of the year. We are planning to complete the self-evaluation by July 2018. We look to have the ADA Transition Plan completed by September 2018. If you need any further information, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Mayor, City of Manchester

RESOLUTION NO. 30-2017

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ATTACHED LETTER OUTLINING THE CITY OF MANCHESTER'S AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT TRANSITION PLAN

WHEREAS the Americans With Disabilities Act requires certain local governments to adopt a Transition Plan for their facilities; and

WHEREAS the Federal Highway Administration has mandated that the Tennessee Department of Transportation require that local governments outline their plans with respect to adoption of the required Transition Plan; and

WHEREAS the Board of Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Manchester has reviewed the attached letter prepared by Mayor Norman and hereby adopts the positions and goals set forth therein as same pertain to the Transition Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN OF THE CITY OF MANCHESTER, TENNESSEE that the letter attached as Exhibit "A" is approved and the goals and plans set forth therein are adopted as the plans and goals of the City of Manchester.

Resolved this 7 day of November 2017.

Lonnie Norman, Mayor

ATTEST: Bridget Anderson

Bridget Anderson, Finance Director

APPENDIX E

PROGRAM MILESTONE – SEPTEMBER 2018



OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

City of Manchester

TELEPHONE (931) 728-4652 • FAX (931) 728-8244

Lonnie J Norman
Mayor

200 West Fort Street
Manchester, TN 37355

September 13, 2018

Margaret Zeman Mahler

Chief Engineer's Office

Tennessee Dept. of Transportation

505 Deaderick Street #400

Nashville, TN 37243

Ms. Mahler:

In accordance with both Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the City of Manchester must complete an ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan. The City of Manchester completed the ADA Self-Evaluation on June 26, 2018. We are now reviewing inspection reports and forming budgets to address areas of ADA non-compliance that will be included in the Transition Plan. St. John Engineering is assisting us with completing the Transition Plan. We should not have a problem completing the ADA Transition Plan by December 2019.

I have attached the Local Agency ADA Compliance Certification. If you need any further information, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Mayor, City of Manchester

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Local Agency ADA Compliance Certification

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) is required by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to monitor sub-recipients who receive TDOT assistance (local governments, contractors, consultants or groups) to ensure compliance with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 with respect to TDOT funded (both Federal and State assistance) projects and programs. 28 CFR 35.130(b)(1)(v) and 49CFR27.7(V)

Deadlines have been established to prove compliance. TDOT has listed the deadlines below with the required elements listed. Please submit all information to TDOT via e-mail . The FHWA has indicated that they may not allow federal transportation funds, including State Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program and Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) grants, to be spent in your community after 2019 until this work has been developed.

BEFORE December 1, 2016

Physical Address of Agency

City of Manchester
Agency Name
200 W. Fort St.
Street
Manchester | Coffee | 37355
City | County | Zip Code

Name of ADA Coordinator or Contact for ADA Questions

Jamie Sain | Codes/Safety Director
Name of ADA Coordinator | Title

(931)723-1464 | jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone Number | E-mail Address

A copy of the ADA Grievance Procedure

Please provide the location(s) of where a person may find a copy of your ADA Grievance Procedure. (web address, public notices…)

http://www.cityofmanchestertn.com/DocumentCenter/View/1130

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Local Agency ADA Compliance Certification

BEFORE December 1, 2017

Status of ADA Transition Plan or ADA Self-Evaluation

ADA Self-Evaluation
Complete ☐ Completion Date:_____
In-Progress ☒
Not Started ☐

ADA Transition Plan
Complete ☐ Completion Date:_____ Submit PDF Copy or Link
In-Progress ☐
Not Started ☒

Please attach the letter of commitment from the mayor. If you've completed your self-evaluation, but haven't completed your Transition Plan, please provide a brief description of the programs and facilities included as part of your agency's self-evaluation.

BEFORE December, 2018

Status of ADA Transition Plan or ADA Self-Evaluation

ADA Self-Evaluation
Complete ☒ Completion Date: 6/26/18
In-Progress ☐
Not Started ☐

ADA Transition Plan
Complete ☐ Completion Date:_____ Submit PDF Copy or Link
In-Progress ☒
Not Started ☐

BEFORE December, 2019

Submit completed copy of your agency's ADA Transition Plan and a Letter certifying your entity has completed the required ADA Transition Plan

Completion Date:_____ Submit PDF Copy

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Local Agency ADA Compliance Certification

If Transition Plan is not complete, please describe your action plan to complete your agency's ADA Transition Plan.

Letter Date:_____ Submit PDF Copy of Signed Letter

APPENDIX F

INSPECTION REPORT FORM EXAMPLES

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID:_____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: _____ **INSPECTOR:** _____

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

_____

_____

_____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: _____ **INSPECTOR:** _____

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

(If no, explain in comments)

☐ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

North Arrow

SIDEWALK WIDTH: _____ LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: _____ LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: _____ %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: _____ %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		
Buckled Up		
Depressed Down		
Excessive Cracking		
Excessive Spalling		
Joint Separation (>1/2”)		
Grates (>1/2”)		
Drainage Problem		

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID:_____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION:_____

_____

_____

_____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: _____ **INSPECTOR:** _____

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☐ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____%
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____%
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____%
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____%
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

COMMENTS:

_____

_____

_____

APPENDIX G

COMPLETED INSPECTION REPORT FORMS – CURB RAMPS

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 1B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I44 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Old stone Fort along Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Old Stone Fort

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** Jamie Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 1A

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I44 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Old Stone Fort along Hwy 41 I44

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Old Stone Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** Jamie Saja

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable warning is red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 5E

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I86 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Orr St. & Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Orr St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Curb ramp has no domes & isn't aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I47 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Waite St. & McMinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Waite St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** Jamie Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I86 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 & Orr St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Orr St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No. Curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I46 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Orr St. / Bell St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bell St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Shin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No curb ramps on either side of Bell St.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Soccer Fields Entrance / Hwy 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 at Soccer Fields Entrance

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hillcrest Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs detectable domes; Entrance does not need curb ramps (part of sidewalk)

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Raider Academy Driveway End of sidewalk **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 / Raider Academy Driveway

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Raider Academy Driveway

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Kingwood **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Kingwood Arms to Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Kingwood Arms Driveway

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Other Asphalt (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No Domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Kingwood / Hwy 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Kingwood Driveway

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other Asphalt (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** Jamie Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I47 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N Waite St. to McMinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Waite St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** Brittany Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No domes Feasible to fix cross slope; Infeasible to fix running slope

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: College St. / Orr St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: College / Orr

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Orr St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No curb ramp sidewalk dead ends

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: College St. School **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: End of sidewalk at College St. School

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Landing and ramp too small
Could be fixed easily
No domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 64 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: College St. / E. Harp St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Harp St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Sidewalk dead ends into pavement of College St. without a proper landing and no domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I64 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: College St. / E. Harp St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Harp St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a curb ramp coming from other side of College St.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I35 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Harp / S. Ramsey

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Harp. St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 5F

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Sect. 34 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E McLean

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No detectable warnings

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 5C

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I34 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Ramsey St. / E. McLean St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. McLean St. (Ramsey)

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No domes; Easily fixable

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 5B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 35 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Harp St. / S. Ramsey St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Ramsey St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☑ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Chunk out of ramp for water valve box
only 3" into ramp
No domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I65 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Main / Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb. ramp. Side walk ends in grass

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I65 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Main / Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Waite St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

No Curb. ramp. Sidewalk ends in grass

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 63 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E Harp & S. Waite

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S Waite St

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No Curb Ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I63 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Waite St. to E Harp. St

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Waite St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 6Ce **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Waite / E. McClean

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb. ramp. ~~Edges~~ Sidewalk ends in grass

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I66 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Waite / E. McClean

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. McClean St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No Curb ramp. Side walk ends in grass

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 66 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. White St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. McLean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☑ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No Curb Ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I62 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Harp St. / Madison St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☑ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Scim

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I65 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Main St. / S. Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Waite St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☑ Other RCP tile
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp. Electric pole and drainage tile will be in way of new curb ramp.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I62 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Madison / E. Harp St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No Curb Ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

28

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Waite / E. Fort **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Sidewalk ends at E. Fort St. with no curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I10 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Coffee St,

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp on both sides of Oak St.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

AMP ID: I68 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring / W. Short

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Short

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring St. / W. Harp St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Harp St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-15-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I67 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring St. / W. Moore

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Moore St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-15-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 68 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W Short & S. Spring St

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W Short

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-15-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Rayburn **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: @ Ray burn & S spring st.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-15-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Spring St. / HUD Housing **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Short St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramps at HUD Housing Drive
Needs two curb ramps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 6

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I-11 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Cherry St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 5A

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Wiley St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Taylor St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Taylor St. / Westwood Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Taylor St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oak St. / Wiley St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS: detectable warnings
No.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I75 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale / Wiley

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oakdale St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Curb ramp has small section of sidewalk that ends at playground

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I73 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Taylor St. / Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Taylor St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No. Curb ramp.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I T2 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale / Coffee

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oakdale

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Domes are red.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 72 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Coffee / Oakdale St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Coffee St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I72 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Coffee St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 72 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Coffee

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

5C

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 15 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Wiley

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Westwood Driveway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Taylor St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Westwood Driveway

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

They have tied asphalt into existing sidewalk to make a ramp.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Taylor / Lynchburg **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Taylor St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lynchburg Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Ramp and landing slope exceeded
Could be fixed easily

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Westwood Driveway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Taylor St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Westwood Driveway

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Cross slope of ramp exceeds max

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Oakdale St./Butler Cir. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Butler Circle

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Oakdale St / Butler Cir. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oakdale St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Butler Circle

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Wiley St. / First Missionary Baptist Church **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Drive Wiley St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: First Missionary Baptist Church Drive

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Wiley St. / First Missionary Baptist Church Drive **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Wiley St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: First Missionary Baptist Church Drive

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sn.

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Sunny Ct. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Lynchburg Rd. / Sunny Ct.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Sunny Ct.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Woodrow **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Lynchburg / Woodrow

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Sunny Ct.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Sunny Ct. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Sunny Ct. / Lynchburg Rd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Sunny Ct.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Domes are not perfectly in line of travel.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Jacoby Jamison / Lynchberg

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jacob / Jamison

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** Trey Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Jacoby Jamison

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lynchberg

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Lynchburg **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Lynchburg / Taylor

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lynchburg

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin / W. Fort.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-19 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Fort / N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red; Not enough room between flare and edge of sidewalk coming in front of City Hall for accessibility

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: beginning of sidewalk on N. Irwin St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B.F

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: City hall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: city hall water drive through

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Drainage problem

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: City hall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: City hall water drive through

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Water drive through

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: City hall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: City hall back parking lot off High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hight

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin / City Hall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: City Hall Driveway Parking Lot

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No detectable domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin meets Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: From sidewalk to handicap parking @ city hall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Water dept. drive thru

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No detectable domes

Feasible to fix ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin / Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: W. Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main handicap space ramp

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☑ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: W. Main Sh **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: handicap space ramp on W. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Inn Sh

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: handicap space ramp on N. Irwin St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: handicap space ramp on N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-16 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring St. & W. Fort St

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Red Dones

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E fort & N. spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. spring st.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Red Domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring St & E. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: North Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____

(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are Red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring st. & East fort st.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. fort st.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

done are Red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring & E. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

Red Domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring St & W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Red Domeo

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring St. E Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E Fort St

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Red Domes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring / Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Spring / E. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring / Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring St. / W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of




Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

doors are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Spring / W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring / Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring / E. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Spring St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring St. handicap parking space ramp

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red — Landing not deep enough

Curb ramp to handicap parking — max slopes exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: W. Fort St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N.Irwin/W.Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: W. Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main / Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: W. Main St **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main / S. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Irwin St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Irwin / W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

Landing slope exceeds max slope

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Irwin / W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/19 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

~~Back ramp slope exceeded~~

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Irwin / W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards. at an angle for both ends leaving 3 ft. crossing walk

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red
Cross slope and flare slope exceeded
can be fixed

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectible domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Main/Ramsey **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/18/19 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I61 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Mclean & Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Steps instead of curb ramp with no railing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I6 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McLean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Excessive cracking in both landing and ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Ramsey / E. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Ramsey

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Ramsey / E. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Ramsey

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Main

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I-5A **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at either corner of intersection
missing 2 curb ramps
Sidewalk dead ends on south side of Fort St.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I58 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: End of sidewalk @ Bruce's Florist **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☑ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Excessive cracking in landing and ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: E. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring and Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Other Pea Gravel (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Spin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Can be fixed

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: E. Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Main St. infront of Chamber Westside

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Other pea gravel (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

(18)

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: E. Fort St. / DREMC Drives **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No curb ramps at 2 DREMC drives
4 total ramps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Main St. / Main St. Church of Christ Drive **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramps at Main St. Church of Christ Drive
2 ramps - 6 in. steps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Heritage Circle **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Heritage Circle inside sidewalk

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Heritage Circle **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Heritage Circle

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: End of sidewalk @ park From I-24 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: High

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp @ end of sidewalk @ City Park

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. High

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☑ Other Brick (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Large lip (3 in.) from ramp down to road

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: High St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp or crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Mclean **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Mclean

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sol

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

@ Shirley Auto Sales building

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I5e **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McClean / Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McClean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McClean / S. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-B 4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

landing is ramp from McClean

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McClean / S. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McClean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Nelson

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete - landing ☑ Other Asphalt - ramp (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CPP exposed in ramp and leaves a 2" lip in ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: mclean

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Mark Cross slope of landing?

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McLean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Ramp is busted up

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☑ Other brick (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. High St./N. Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

> ½ lip from sidewalk to landing. No detectable warnings

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

5A

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: E. High St / Cook Chiro. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E. High St. at Cook Chiro

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

5A

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: E. High St. / Cook Chiro. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: E High St. at Coon Chiro.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Heritage Circle **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Heritage Circle

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Heritage Circle

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at end of sidewalk to cross to interior sidewalk of subdivision

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Spring St. / Alleyway behind The Mercantile **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Alleyway Behind The Mercantile

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at alleyway behind the Mercantile
5 inch lip/step

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

1B Add

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: High St. / Irwin St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Irwin St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: High St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side) .

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of


Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp for crossing Irwin St.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B Add

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hamilton Pl./Hamilton Ct. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hamilton Ct. Volunteer Place S/D

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Doak

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

No curb ramps crossing Hamilton Place and no crosswalk – 4 in. step
2 ramps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

51 ft.
1.6 slope
1.7 cross
Perrigan

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I40 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53 / Perrigan

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Perrigan Ln.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☑ Other mailbox
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Soria

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



Ignore

COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I40 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53/Perrigan

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Perrigan Ln.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I2 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: exit 111

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McMinnville Hwy

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 2 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McMinnville Hwy Exit 111A

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I1 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: I24 on ramp

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Other Asphalt (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I39 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 / Mayfield

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Mayfield

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Ramp not aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I39 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 / Mayfield

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Mayfield

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

~~North~~ Reads curb ramp on ~~North~~ side of Mayfield

Ramp on south side not aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I36 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hendrikson

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Ramp is not aligned with direction of travel
A ramp is needed on the south side of intersection

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: McMinnville Hwy 19 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McMinnville Hwy

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No Curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 38 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 / Park Pl

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Pl

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Landing has a hump in it where driveway cut is directly to its south
Ramp is not exactly in direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I38 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53 / Park Pl

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Pl.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Ramp is not exactly in direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I37 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Storage Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I13 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53 / Ewell

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ewell

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I13 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53/Ewell

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ewell

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Other Ramp is pea gravel (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sgia

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Hump in landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53 / End of Sidewalk at Frontage Rd. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Frontage Rd. / Praters BBQ

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at end of sidewalk at Frontage Rd. / Praters BBQ

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: End of sidewalk at Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Service Rd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Duncan St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Duncan St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Duncan St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I50 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Harman In

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Harman Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53/ Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 53

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fikl

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53 / Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 53

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Spring St. / High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No detectable warnings. ~~No ramp to cross High St.~~

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: end of Hwy 53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: at corner between I12 & I11

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 12 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Woodbury Hwy / Walker St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Walker St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I12 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Walker St. / Woodbury Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Walker St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Woodbury Hwy **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Fire hall 2 drive

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodbury Hwy

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Fire hall 2 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Woodbury Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodbury Hwy

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** BFiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☑ Other Pea gravel (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☑ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Curbing on back of landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53/Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 53

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53/Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 53

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53/Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 53

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No landing
Do have curbing behind ramp/landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I9 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53 / Campbell

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Campbell Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a landing behind ramp
Ramp is not aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I9 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53 / [illegible] Campbell

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Campbell

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Landing is cracked

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53 / Tri-County Roofing **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Tri-County Roofing

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a landing behind the ramp
Ramp is not aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 53 / Tri-County Roofing **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Tri-County Roofing

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sala

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a landing behind the ramp

Ramp is not aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I10 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 53 / Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a landing behind ramp
Ramp not aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I10 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Woodland and Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Saln

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a landing at back of ramp
Ramp not aligned with direction of travel

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Fort / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







COMMENTS:

ramp slope exceed max

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT 2

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Fort St / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** BFisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development TDOT

RAMP ID: I50 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Harmon Ln. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Harmon Ln

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

land is 3ft wide, 19.1% slope at dames

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDoT?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Other Pea gravel (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

pole protruding at ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

5'F

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41/City Hall Parking **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

Needs detectable warnings

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

JF

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / City Hall Parking **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Needs detectable warnings

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

5F

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / City Hall Water Dept. Drive Through Exit **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Water Dept. Exit

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Needs detectable warnings

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

5F

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / City Hall Water Dept. Drive Through Exit **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Water Dept. Exit

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Needs detectable warnings

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hillsboro Blvd / College St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: College St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Spring / W. Harp St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Harp / Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Harp St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Could be ramp at W. Harp or could be driveway to business at corner

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I88 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)





COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I88 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I57 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / W. McLean

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. McLean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / Spring St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 at Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☑ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☑ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

5 ft. clearance around signal box & pole

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / Spring **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole (signal) ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Signal pole in each ramp leaving 2 ft. clearance around

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?.

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 ~~[illegible]~~ → Spring **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: ~~[illegible]~~ Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

~~[illegible]~~ ↑Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Signal Pole in south ramp leaving ~~[illegible]~~ 3 ft. clearance

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: E. Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

right ramp exceeds max slope

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT 2.

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / Ramsey **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Ramsey St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Ramsey / Hillsboro blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Ramsey St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Ramsey / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Slopes exceed max

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I42 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Madison St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Madison St. / Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hillsboro Blvd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

exceeds max slope

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I51 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / S. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sai

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Cross slope max exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I51 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / S. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sa.

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Cross slope max exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TPDT 8

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Spring **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

ramps exceed max slope and cross slope of landing and curb ramp exceed max

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TROY 8

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Spring **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Spring St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)



COMMENTS:

ramps exceed max slope and cross slope of landing and curb ramp exceed max

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

AMP ID: S. Woodland St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Woodland St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Woodland St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Woodland St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



[redacted] SLOPE OF GUTTER w/pan = [redacted] 2.5%

CROSS-SLOPE OF 1.8% ON DOME MAT

COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Woodland **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Woodland St. / Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Woodland **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Woodland St. / Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



9.7%

10.3%

1.8% – 3.0%

COMMENTS:

Gutter pan = 4.3%

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / Ramsey **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / Ramsey **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

SLOPE OF ROADWAY IS 5.2% (@ GUTTER)

ROAD DISCLF IS 10%

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

.AMP ID: I42 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____

(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/21/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

Landing at road

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I42 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



Landing 1.4% x 1.0 2

Ramp 5.2 x 0.3 2

COMMENTS:

Landing at road

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Madison St. / Hillsboro Blvd. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Madison St. / Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Ramp slope exceeds max.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Madison St. / Hillsboro Blvd. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Madison St. / Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



By old Jack

5.8%
7.1%

COMMENTS:

Ramp slope exceeds max.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I42 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I42 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS: Crosses 41 – Landing 0.4% 1.5% Ramp = 5.5%

Crosses Madison Landing 0.3% x 1.2%

Ramp 6.4%

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 87 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-9 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Blended transition, no domes, no landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 87 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-9 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Blended transition, no domes, no landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I87 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

.NSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I87 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Fort / N. Church St

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Church / W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 12-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Church St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Church St. ramp at First United Methodist Church

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Church St **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Church at First United Methodist Church

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church St

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

2B

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: W. Main St. / End of Sidewalk at Coffee Co. Bank Drive Through **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/4/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at end of sidewalk at CCB Drive Through

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: S. Woodland / E. Moore **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: S. Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Moore

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☑ Other Bush
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/4/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No curb ramp
Sidewalk dead end into a large bush

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: N. Church St./W. Fort **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: N. Church St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/4/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp
Sidewalk ends with a large lip at W. Fort St.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

2B

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: W. Main St. / End of Sidewalk at rear church parking lot **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oakdale St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/4/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Jain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at end of sidewalk at rear church parking lot

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

2B

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I43 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: W. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/4/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at end of sidewalk - ends in grass

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

2B

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: High St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church and W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/5/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of End of Sidewalk





COMMENTS:

No curb ramps along High St. 6 total ramps needed

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I31 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Medical Park Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 31 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Medical Park Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Soin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of







Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Speedway Dr. / McArthur **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 10/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No crosswalk Can be fixed - cross slope

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Speedway Dr / McArthur **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Speedway Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/4/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I30 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr,

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Curbing at back of landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Speedway Driveway / Oak Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oak Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Speedway Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Speedway Driveway / Oak Dr **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Oak Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Speedway Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I30 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Curbing behind landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I30 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 30 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 30 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Needs a curb ramp to island

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 33 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Keylon

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I37 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Keylon

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS: Cracking
Spalling in ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I32 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Parks

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I8 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lowry

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Rye St. / Hwy 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: ~~Rye St~~ Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rye St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: ~~[illegible]~~ Rye St. / Hwy 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: ~~[illegible]~~ Rye St.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp or crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Willow Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Willow Dr / McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Willow Dr

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Willow Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. / Willow Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Willow Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Bryan Ave **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Bryan Ave / McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bryan Ave

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Bryan Ave **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Bryan Ave / McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Circle K **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development ✓

RAMP ID: Circle K **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. Circle K gas station

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I18 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. / Oak Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I18 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. / Oak Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I15 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Madison St. / McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS: No Curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

AMP ID: I 15 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. / ~~Or~~ Madison St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS: No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Walgreens drive way on McArthur St

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Walgreens driveway on McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

ramp tapers in length

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 78 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur / Coffee St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Coffee St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS: No curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 78 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Coffee St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-~~4~~ 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** R. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS: No Curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

.AMP ID: I14 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur / Parks

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Parks St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

No Curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I14 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. / Parks St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Parks St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No Curb ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I21 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Royal

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sg

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curbing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I21 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Royal

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Electric pole is 1 ft. into ramp

No curbing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I25 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Shady Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curbs

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I25 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Shady Ln

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curbs

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I26 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Edgewood

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Soin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curbing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I26 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Edgewood

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curbing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I27 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McMahan

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

Electric pole protrudes into ramp 1 ft.

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I27 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McMahan

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I28 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: East End

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No curbs

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I28 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: East End

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curbs

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I29 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Heritage Cir

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☑ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I29 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Heritage Cir

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Nichols **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Nichols Driveway

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Max cross slope exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Nichols **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Nichols Driveway

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sch

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Max cross slope exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Admin. Building **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Admin. Drives

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Admin Building **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Admin Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Admin Drive **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Admin Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No crosswalk
Excessive cracking in ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Admin Drive **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Admin Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Heritage Preserve **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Heritage Preserve

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Curb behind landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Heritage Preserve **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Preserve Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Curb behind landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I23 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: New Tullahoma Hwy / Lincoln St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lincoln St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I23 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: New Tullahoma Hwy / Lincoln St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lincoln St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I22 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Kennedy Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: New Tullahoma Hwy

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I22 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Kennedy Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: New Tullahoma Hwy

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I24 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: New Tullahoma Hwy / Red Raider Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Red Raider Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I24 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: New Tullahoma Hwy / Red Raider Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Red Raider Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: West Kennedy Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: New Tullahoma Hwy / west Kennedy Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: West Kennedy Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: West Kennedy Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: West Kennedy Dr. / New Tullahoma Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: West Kennedy Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I20 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. / East End Rd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: East End Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I20 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McArthur St. / East End Rd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: East End Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 19 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Bartlett / McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bartlett Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I19 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Bartlett / McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bartlett Dr

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: New Tullahoma Hwy across fom I24

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: New Tullahoma Hwy

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55/41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Soin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55/41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable warning ramp cross slopes

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sai

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Signal pole in ramp leaving 2 ft to get around

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55/41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole (4 ft. clearance) ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____

(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Signal box in ramp but have 4 ft. clearance

Ramp slope exceeds max

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☑ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☑ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-12-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55/41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55/41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 / Hwy 41 (Walgreens corner)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-12-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-12-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: 13 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55/41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55 / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-12-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Island

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I4 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Inchinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Ave.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I4 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: McMinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Ave.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-_____ ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT 2B

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 55 / 134 McMinnville Hwy old daycare facility **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

No curb ramps at old daycare facility - 8 in. steps
4 total ramps

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 79 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rye St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I83 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McKellar Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

max Ramp cross-slope exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 79 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rye St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I84 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hills Chapel Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development ✓

RAMP ID: US Bank **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: US Bank Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Exceeds max landing cross slope

No crosswalk across driveway

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hardees **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hardees Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sim

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I81 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Polk St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I81 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Polk St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

COMMENTS:

Landing

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I 83 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Mckellar Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: First Baptist Church **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: FBC

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I82 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Johnson St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Johnson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: First Baptist Church **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

'NSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: US Bank **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

'NSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

7

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Jackson St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Jackson St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT 2.

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I84 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Peoples Bank Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red.

Landing needs to be wider

Need detectable domes for other side

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT end of sidewalk

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hillsboro Blvd. / Winners Ln. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Winners Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Curbing behind landing/ramp

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

end of sidewalk
TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hillsboro Blvd. / Winners Ln. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Winners Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Curbing behind landing/ramp
max Ramp slope exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I5 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Raven St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Max cross slope on ramp exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

-TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I5 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Raven St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Ramp cross-slope exceeds max

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Farrar Place driveway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Farrar Place driveway

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Farrar Place driveway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Farrar Place

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of ramp.





COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT ?

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hardees **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hardees Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

Max cross slopes exceeded

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT 2

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I82 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Johnson St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Johnson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT existing ramp

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hillsboro Blvd. / Clover Ln. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Clover Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sim

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT existing ramp

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hillsboro Blvd. / Clover Ln. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Clover Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sim

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



1.0 @ Bottom
1.5 @ Domes

COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

TPOT existing ramp

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hillsboro Blvd. / Clover Ln. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Clover Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other_____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

TDOT existing ramp

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hillsboro Blvd. / Clover Ln. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Clover Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H- 5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sisk

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Jackson St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***
☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☒ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



crushing landing

COMMENTS:

Drainage problem

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Jackson St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



(crushing landing)

1.1% OK %

1.6% IN DIRECTION OF RAMP

0.8 ON DOMES

COMMENTS:

Drainage problem

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Jackson St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-B-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

_____

_____

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41 / Jackson St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-B-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



0.9% LANDING

0.2 @ DOMES

COMMENTS:

2.8% ON RAMP

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

1

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I84 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hills Chapel Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I84 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hills Chapel Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-5 (***Insert Applicable DWG#***)

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☑ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of



Detail North Arrow



2.9%

COMMENTS:

Detectable domes are red

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I85 ~~15~~ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Walls St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☑ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead

Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

TDOT

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: I85 ~~65~~ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Walls St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☑ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of

Detail North Arrow

Designate the downslope on all slope readings by drawing an arrowhead



COMMENTS:

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / End of Sidewalk at ERPUD **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Clover Lane

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☐ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☐ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





Detail North Arrow



COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at end of sidewalk at ERPUD

CURB RAMP INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

(2B)

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

RAMP ID: Hwy 41 / Sonic **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT/CORNER: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 55

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

RAMP STANDARD: RP-H-4 ***(Insert Applicable DWG#)***

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

FLUSH MOUNT LIP AT CURB: ☐ 1/4 inch or less ☐ Greater than 1/2 inch ☐ Beveled

OBSTRUCTONS: ☑ None ☐ Pole ☐ Sign ☐ Fire plug ☐ Signal box ☐ Other _____
(If clearance less than 36" explain on reverse side)

UTILITY BOX IN RAMP: ☑ None ☐ Flush ☐ Greater than ½ in. (***Explain in comments***)

DETECTABLE WARNINGS WIDTH OF RAMP X 24" & ALIGNED WITH DIR OF TRAVEL: ☐ Yes ☐ No

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of curb ramp that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of





COMMENTS:

No curb ramps at Sonic entrances - 4 total ramps

APPENDIX H

DEFICIENCY SUMMARY – CURB RAMPS

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR001	1	Ramp Slope= 14.8% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 6.8% (F)	1A	Replace curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$4,050	General Fund
CR025	12, 13	Ramp Slope= 13.5% (F), No landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	1A	Replace curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$4,050	General Fund
CR082	31	Ramp Slope= 13.2% (F) and Landing Cross Slope= 2.6% (F)	1A	Replace curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$4,050	General Fund
CR027	14	Ramp Slope= 13.3% (F), No landing, and needs detectable warnings	1A	Replace curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$4,050	General Fund
CR002	1	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR205	75	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR206	75	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR303	132	No curb ramp, pole will be in the way of future ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$4,250	General Fund
CR203	74	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR179	66	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR214	80	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR215	81	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR235	102	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR339	73	No curb ramp where a crosswalk exists	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR340	73	No curb ramp where a crosswalk exists	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '20/'21	$3,250	General Fund
CR134	44	No curb ramp at end of sidewalk at City Park	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR305	133	No curb ramp, tied asphalt to existing sidewalk to make ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR068	29	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR138	46	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR139	46	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR140	47	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR141	47	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR326	143	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR323	142	No curb ramp	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR346	58	No curb ramp at end of sidewalk	1B	Install new curb ramp	FY '21/'22	$3,250	General Fund
CR218	84	Ramp Slope= 15.5% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 4.2% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 3.8% (F), and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR019	10	Ramp Slope= 13.3% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 5.2% (F), Landing Slope= 2.3% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 4.0% (F), Lip at curb, Hump in landing, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR018	10	Ramp Slope= 18.0% (F), Landing Slope= 8.0% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 3.3% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR012	7	Ramp Slope= 13.7% (F), Ramp not aligned with direction of travel, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR013	7	Ramp Slope= 19.6% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 2.6% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 8.0% (F), Ramp not aligned with direction of travel, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR017	9	Ramp Slope= 13.9% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 8.4% (F), and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR128	42	Ramp Slope= 13.5% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR289	124	Ramp Slope= 12.1% (F), Insufficient Landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR011	6	Ramp Slope= 13.3% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 5.1% (F), Ramp not aligned with direction of travel, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR103	37	Ramp Slope= 12.6% (F), Landing Slope= 2.4% (F), and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR104	37	Ramp Slope= 16.4% (F), Landing Slope= 9.3% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 5.8% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '22/'23	$4,050	General Fund
CR114	39	Ramp Slope= 24.0% (F), Landing Slope= 2.4% (F), Excessive flare slopes, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$4,050	General Fund
CR107	37	Ramp Slope= 22.0% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 3.0% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 2.4% (F)	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR135	45	Ramp Slope= 13.0% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 4.4% (F), Landing Slope= 6.7% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 4.2% (F), Lip at curb, Excessive cracking in landing and ramp, and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$4,050	General Fund
CR084	32	Ramp Slope= 14.2% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF), Landing Slope= 6.8% (F)	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$4,050	General Fund
CR083	32	Ramp Slope= 13.2% (F)	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$4,050	General Fund
CR095	35	Ramp Slope= 12.1% (F), Landing Slope= 8.9% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 6.8% (F), and needs detectable warnings	2A	Replace curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$4,050	General Fund
CR015	8	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR008	5	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR016	9	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR211	78	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR230	101	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR231	101	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR232	102	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR233	102	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR238	105	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR239	105	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR240	106		2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR242	106	No curb ramp getting to island	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR241	106		2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR234	102	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR051	24	No curb ramp, ends in grass	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR039	19	No curb ramp at end of sidewalk at City Cemetery	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR341	120	No curb ramp in median island	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR342	120	No curb ramp in median island	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '23/'24	$3,250	General Fund
CR142	48	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR143	48	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR136	45	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR137	45	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR146	50	No curb ramp, steps instead of curb ramp with no railing	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR169	61	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR166	61	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR167	61	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR178	65	Sidewalk dead ends into pavement of College St. without a proper landing and needs detectable warnings	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR177	65	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR173	63	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR174	63	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR175	63	No curb ramp, electric pole and RCP tile in way of new ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR171	62	No curb ramp, sidewalk ends in grass	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR170	62	No curb ramp, sidewalk ends in grass	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR172	62	No curb ramp, sidewalk ends in grass	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR324	142	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR320	140	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR321	140	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR176	64	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR070	29	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR228	100	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR229	100	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR050	24	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR036	17	No curb ramp at end of sidewalk	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR049	23	No curb ramp at end of sidewalk	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR223	97	No curb ramp at end of sidewalk	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR343	87	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR344	87	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR345	58	No curb ramp at end of sidewalk	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '24/'25	$3,250	General Fund
CR096	35	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR318	139	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR319	139	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR152	54	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR150	54	No curb ramp, may not be needed if sidewalk is removed in front of AT&T building	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR226	99	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR227	99	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR201	73	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR202	73	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR197	72	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR198	72	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR330	148	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR009	5	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR219	85	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR220	85	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR221	85	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR222	85	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR037	18	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR038	18	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR040	20	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR041	20	A curb ramp is needed to get to sidewalk on north side of street at city cemetery.	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR044	21, 22	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR144	49	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR145	49	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR329	146	No curb ramp, sidewalk ends into a large bush	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR275	118	No curb ramp at the start of the sidewalk	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR300	128	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR299	128	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR261	112	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR262	112	No curb ramp	2B	Install new curb ramp	FY '25/'26	$3,250	General Fund
CR129	42	No curb ramp on the southeast corner of intersection where a striped crosswalk exists	3	Install new curb ramp	FY '29/'30	$3,250	General Fund
CR069	29	Another curb ramp is needed to serve crossing Irwin St.	4	Install new curb ramp	FY '31/'32	$3,250	General Fund
CR026	14	Landing Slope= 3.3% (F), Ramp Slope= 10.2% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 11.7% (F), Landing is cracked, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR014	8	Ramp Slope= 10.7% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 5.1% (F), Lip at curb, Ramp is not aligned with direction of travel, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR297	127	Running slope=10.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR024	13	Insufficient landing behind ramp, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR216	82	Ramp Slope= 9.1% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR217	82	Landing Cross Slope= 5.6% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 6.6% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR149	53	Landing Slope= 7.5% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 2.3% (F), Lip at curb, Excessive cracking in both landing and ramp, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR028	15	Landing Slope= 2.6% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 13.3% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR245	106	Landing Slope= 5.1% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR246	106	Landing Slope= 7.7% (F) and Landing Cross Slope= 3.7% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR269	116	Insufficient landing, Ramp Cross Slope= 4.5% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR270	116	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 11.0% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR271	117	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 9.1% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR272	117	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 9.3% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR280	120	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 8.7% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR281	120	Insufficient landing, Ramp Cross Slope= 4.4% (F), Lip at curb, Electric pole 1 ft. into ramp, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR282	121	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR283	121	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 9.6% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR286	123	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, Electric pole 1 ft. into ramp, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR287	123	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR284	122	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR285	122	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 9.3% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR292	125	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR293	125	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR288	124	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 9.1% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.0% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR294	126	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR237	104	Landing Cross Slope= 3.3% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 2.6% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR010	6	Ramp Slope= 9.6% (F), Landing Slope= 4.2% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 4.4% (F), Lip at curb, Ramp is not aligned with direction of travel, Hump in landing, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR077	31	Landing Cross Slope= 3.0% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 3.1% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR078	31, 32	Landing Slope= 4.7% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR080	31	Landing Slope= 3.8% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR081	31	Landing Slope= 5.1% (F) and not enough room between flare and edge of sidewalk to get to landing	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR075	31	Landing Cross Slope= 5.8% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 4.0% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR278	119	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 10.5% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR279	119	Insufficient landing, Ramp Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), Lip at curb,and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR277	119	Insufficient landing, Ramp Slope= 9.3% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR276	119	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR258	110	Landing Cross Slope= 3.3% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 7.3% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '32/'33	$4,050	General Fund
CR131	42	Ramp Slope= 10.9% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR122	41	Landing Slope= 6.3% (F), Ramp Slope= 11.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR121	41	Landing Slope= 3.7% (F) and Flare Slopes exceed max	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR123	41	Landing Slope= 7.2% (F), Ramp Slope= 10.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR112	39	Ramp Slope= 9.3% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR117	40	Landing Slope= 4.2% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 2.4% (F), Ramp Slope= 11.9% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR110	39, 40	Landing Slope= 3.1% (F), Ramp Slope= 11.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR071	30	Landing Slope= 3.7% (F) and No Detectable Domes	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR065	28	Landing Cross Slope= 4.9% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 5.4% (F), and Ramp Slope= 11.0% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR064	28	Landing Slope= 10.2% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 6.5% (F), Ramp Slope= 11.0% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 6.3% (F), and Flare Slope= 16.7% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR125	41	Landing Cross Slope= 5.2% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 4.5% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR102	37	Landing Cross Slope= 3.0% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 2.4% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR105	37	Ramp Slope= 9.3% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR106	37	Landing Slope= 2.8% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 4.7% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF), Ramp is busted, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR328	145	Landing Slope= 10.5% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR315	138	Landing Cross Slope= 5.1% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 4.5% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR314	138	Landing Cross Slope= 3.8% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 5.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR316	138	Landing Cross Slope= 2.4% (F), Landing Slope= 3.8% (F), and Ramp Cross Slope= 2.6% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR317	138	Landing Slope= 3.1% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR301	129	Landing Slope= 2.3% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 2.4 % (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR207	76	Landing and ramp too small (F) and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR072	30	Landing Cross Slope= 3.0% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 2.8% (F), and No Detectable Warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR073	30	Landing Slope= 3.8% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 3.7% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), No Detectable Warnings, and Drainage Problem	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR022	12	Landing Cross Slope= 5.1% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 5.2% (F), Ramp Slope= 10.7% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR020	11	Insufficient landing behind ramp, Ramp Cross Slope= 2.5% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR021	11	Insufficient landing behind ramp, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR256	110	Landing Slope= 6.6% (F), Ramp Slope= 9.8% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.7% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR253	109	Landing Slope= 3.0% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR254	109	Landing Slope= 2.7% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR067	29	Landing Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.3% (F), and No Detectable Warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR267	115	Landing Cross Slope= 3.5% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 3.0% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR268	115	Landing Cross Slope= 4.4% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 4.7% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR260	111	Landing Cross Slope= 4.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR266	114	Landing Slope= 2.8% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 4.2% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 8.6% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR290	125	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR291	125	Insufficient landing, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR251	108	Landing Cross Slope= 3.5% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 3.0% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR252	108	Landing Cross Slope= 3.7% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR127	42	Landing Slope= 3.7% (F), Lip at curb, Lip from sidewalk to landing, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR264	113	Landing Cross Slope= 3.0% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 4.0% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR349	93	Landing Slope= 4.0% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR350	93	Insufficient landing	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR352	97	Landing Slope= 2.4% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR353	68	Blended transition, Insufficient landing, and need detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR354	96	Landing Slope= 4.0% (F) and Lip at curb	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR355	96	Landing Slope= 5.2% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 3.0% (F), and Lip at curb	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR335	89	Landing Cross Slope= 3.7% (F) and Ramp Cross Slope= 2.6% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR338	90	Landing Slope= 3.1% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '33/'34	$4,050	General Fund
CR310	135	Landing Slope= 5.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR113	39	Ramp Slope= 11.4% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 2.8% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR151	54	Landing Slope= 6.3% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 7.0% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 6.6% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR091	33	Landing Slope= 3.0% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR089	33	Landing Slope= 3.7% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR088	33	Landing Slope= 4.9% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR115	40	Landing Depth= 1.25 ft. (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR092	33	Landing Slope= 3.1% (F), Ramp Slope= 9.3% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR309	135	Landing Cross Slope= 5.2% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR327	144	Ramp Cross Slope=2.6% (F), Landing Slope= 4.2% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 2.9% (F), Landing is not 4 ft. wide, Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR090	33	Landing Cross Slope= 6.8% (F) and needs 48 in. extension in the crosswalk	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR085	33	Landing Slope= 4.7% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 2.4% (F), Ramp Slope= 11.9% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR255	110	Landing Slope= 2.3% (F), Ramp Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR043	21	Landing Cross Slope= 5.1% (F), Landing Slope= 6.8% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR042	21	Landing Cross Slope= 6.8% (F), Landing Slope= 5.1% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR045	21, 22	Ramp Slope= 10.0% (F)	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR304	133	Landing Cross Slope= 3.1% (F), Landing Slope= 4.9% (F), Ramp Slope= 9.3% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5A	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR132	43	No curb ramp	5A	Install new curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$3,250	General Fund
CR133	43	No curb ramp	5A	Install new curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$3,250	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR156	56	Chunk out of ramp for water valve box 3" into ramp and needs detectable warnings	5B	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR195	71	Signal pole in ramp that is not feasible to move, TDOT repair to max extent feasible	5B	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR236	104	Spalling/Cracking in the ramp and needs detectable warnings	5B	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR153	55	Cross Slope of ramp and landing > max (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR155	56	Cross Slope of ramp= 2.2% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR298	127	Lip at curb and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR210	78	Ramp Slope= 8.7% (NF), Cross Slope= 7.3% (F), Landing Slope=4.9% (F), and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR168	61	Ramp Cross Slope= 3.4% (F), Landing Cross Slope= 3.6% (F), Landing Slope= 3.6% (F), Lip at curb, and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR302	129	Ramp Cross Slope= 6.3% (F) and Lip at curb	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR243	106	Ramp Cross Slope= 3.3% (F)	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR295	126	Lip at curb and needs curbing behind landing	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR130	42	Lip at curb (F) and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR023	12	Ramp Cross Slope= 3.5% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR265	114	Ramp Cross Slope= 6.8% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR308	134	Ramp Cross Slope= 2.3% (F)	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR029	15		5C		FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR263	113	Ramp Cross Slope= 3.0% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR257	110	Ramp Cross Slope= 3.8% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR334	88	Ramp Cross Slope= 4.4% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Curb Ramps

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CR ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CR333	88	Ramp Cross Slope= 4.9% (F) and needs detectable warnings	5C	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR204	74	No detectable warnings, not aligned with the direction of travel, and no 48 in. extension into the crosswalk	5E	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR154	55	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR101	37	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR325	142	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR208	77	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR209	77	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR212	79	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR213	79	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR199	72	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR200	72	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR126	42	Needs detectable warnings, blended transition	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR224	98	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR225	98	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR249	108	Needs detectable warnings and flares exceed max slope	5F	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR250	108	Needs detectable warnings and flares exceed max slope	5F	Replace curb ramp	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR274	118	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR066	29	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR048	22	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR273	118	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$450	General Fund
CR052	25	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR053	25	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR054	25	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund
CR055	25	Needs detectable warnings	5F	Install detectable warning mat	FY '34/'35	$4,050	General Fund

APPENDIX I

COMPLETED INSPECTION REPORT FORMS – SIDEWALKS

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 4

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I44 → TDOT wall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy. 41 from Old Stone Fort – end of TDOT retaining wall

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Old Stone Fort and Fredonia Rd,

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** Jamie Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.1 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1504 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: _____

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy. 41 Hendrixson Dr. to I 44 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hendrixson Dr. → intersection 44

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Old Stone Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: See comments

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** Brittany Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 473.6 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 7.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H See Comments

COMMENTS:

Max Slope measured @ drive of 1709 Murfreesboro Hwy.
two mailboxes protruding objects 1709: 16 inches.
5.9% Slope at drive 1747 Mur. Hwy to protruding mailbox 2 inch into sidewalk.

Changed to priority 4

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: between Kingwood driveway to Raider Academy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: See comments

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-8-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 218 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: .5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

>1/2 ~~box culvert~~ grate / catch basin area creates 8in shift in sidewalk to 7 1/4 lip

No passing space

35' replaced to 2 new stormwater grates

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")	✓	
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 1

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I86 → College St. parking lot **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Orr St. from I86 to College St. parking lot

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bell St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 207 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 9.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Cross slope feasible/running slope not feasible excessive depression

100' replacement needed

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 47 to soccer fields **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Waite St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.1 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 917 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

Man hole area caused concrete to be buckled up

No passing spaces needs to be edged

80' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☑ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: soccerfields to Orr st. (I86) **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Orr St. I86

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske...

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.1 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1026 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS:

excessive cracking @ soccer field entrance
No passing spaces
depressed manhole
Max cross slope @ old drive
drainage problem @ curb inlet spalling/cracking
buckling @ bridge
spalling @ bridge
CMP exposed on south side of bridge

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem	✓	

152' replacement + most spalling on bridge

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I86 → I46 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: North side of Orr St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bell St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B.F.

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: ~~5.0~~ LF 5.5

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 137 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H - None

COMMENTS:

Buckling @ manhole
cross slope feasible / running slope not feasible

70' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I47 to greenway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Waite St from Hwy 55 to greenway entrance at ball fields

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 55

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF + 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1275 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: .5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: 8"/36" L/H

COMMENTS:

width 4 ft. for 100 ft. section
mailboxes in sidewalk but have 4 ft. at ground
protrude more than 4 inches
width 4.9 @ bridge
drainage problem @ bridge
max slope @ N. Waite St. Hill 6.1 %

Possibly Install catch basin and pipe

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem	✓	

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McMinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Waite

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Grates > 1/2 inch, excessive spalling & cracking, > 1/4 inch wps., No passing space

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** Brittany Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.1 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 716 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: .3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS: No passing space

105' replacement & 4 new stormwater gates

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")	✓	
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I46 → end of sidewalk **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Orr St. from I46 to just short of Lane St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bell St. and Lane St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Max slope over 5%, but would not be feasible to fix

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 121 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 7.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

> 1/4" lip, joint separation, excessive cracking can be fixed

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

20' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 87 → College St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: ~~School~~ College St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 658 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: Yes L/H

COMMENTS:

Electric pole in sidewalk at I87 leaving only 3.5 ft. to pass

max cross slope at 2 old driveways in front of school; rest of sidewalk has a cross slope of 1.0%

85' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I88→I64 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: College St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Need to replace entire sidewalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 993 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

5.8 ft. wide first 150 ft. from Hwy 41

4 ft. wide rest of way

Some cross slopes exceed 30% at driveways and running

Needs passing spaces for 4 ft. wide section

501' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I64 → Orr St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: College St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Harp St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Cross slope exceeds max at some points, especially at residential drives

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sein

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 344 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

No passing zones

Cross slope is 7.7% at drive of 313 College St.

71' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

Priority 3

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I66 to I65 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 214 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

5' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Main/Ramsey **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/18/19 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 153 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.7 % - tree roots

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 15.7 % 3.3 normal

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS: May be best to rip out section due to trees and no connectivity

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I35 to Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Ramsey

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: 6.0 max cross slope @ 313 S. Ramsey driveway
Max Slope @ Hill 9.1 %

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 446 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 9.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

26' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: E. Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Waite St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: sidewalk section missing @ Leming House driveway, section missing @ front of 408 E. Main St.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 216 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

24' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I65 to E. Fort **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: 24" Max dropoff @ ditch
large chunks missing at edge of sidewalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.5/5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 213 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

Sidewalk width 5.5 feet for 106 ft
5 feet for rest of length

Sidewalk ends @ E. Fort St. with no curb ramp.

70' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I66 to I65 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. McClean to E Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: dropoff at ditch 31" needs railing

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5/4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 217 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

Sidewalk width 5 ft. for 60 feet
to 4 ft. for the rest of the length.

31' replacement to replacement
drainage grate

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I67 → end of sidewalk **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E Harp & College St

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College St

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: driveway of 501 E. Harp St. has a 6.8 Cross Slope.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 ½ LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 137 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: 18" L/H

COMMENTS: Electrical pole is a protruding object causing Excessive Cracking.

4' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	-	✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I-66 to E. McClean **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. McClean St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 215 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

Max slope @ old stump 3.8%
old stump = protruding object
excessive cracking confined to one spot

40' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I-66 I63 to E. McClean st. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. McClean St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Approx. 24" drop to ditch, A few >¼ lips

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 232.5 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

14' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		
Drainage Problem		

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 62 to I 35 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Harp St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Ramsey St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: protruding shrubs, edge chunks missing, ~~max slope increases~~

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 411 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

51' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I-64 → I-62 College St to Madison **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Harp St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Waite

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: buckled up, depressed, protruding object

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 168 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: See comment L/H

COMMENTS:

electric pole approx. 4 inches into sidewalk

26' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 35 → I 34 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Ramsey St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Harp. St. and E. Mclean St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.75 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 246 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: No passing space

Excessive slope at Path Manor walkway

30' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I34 → E. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Ramsey St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. McLean + E. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.75 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 218 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

No passing space

Steps down to road with no railing

30' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I16 → I70 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Coffee St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring & Oak St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Cross slope can be fixed easily

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 540 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Grass and dirt need to be cleaned off sidewalk to get full width

Water meter in sidewalk at 122 W. Coffee St.

203' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 2

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I16 - I68 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Coffee and Short

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 3.9 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1091 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Steps down to sidewalk from 722 Spring

5.1% cross slope

Sidewalk is 5 ft. wide from 606 Spring to W. Short St.

No passing spaces on 4 ft. wide section

Dirt and grass need to be scraped off sidewalk to get full width

400' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I68→I69 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Short St

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St. & Oak St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 532 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 11.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Cross-slope of 13.9% at drive of HUD housing

No passing spaces

Extensive flares at all driveways

Cross slopes can be fixed; running slope probably not feasible

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")	✓	
Drainage Problem		✓

220' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 64 → north of HUD Housing **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oak St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: short St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 155 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: poles L/H

COMMENTS: Electric poles in middle of sidewalk

Large lip at start of housing (6")

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")	✓	
Drainage Problem		✓

20' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I68- Rayburn St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Short and Rayburn

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.0 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 648 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 8.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Max cross slope of 13.3 at drive of HUD Housing
No curb ramps at drive of HUD Housing
Work needs to be done at all driveways
Electric pole in sidewalk at 500 Spring
Grass and dirt need to be cleaned off sidewalk
No passing spaces

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")	✓	
Drainage Problem		✓

314' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Rayburn → I-61 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rayburn & W. Moore

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Not feasible to fix max running slope

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sein

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 316 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 8.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Short section of sidewalk missing on north side of Rayburn/Spring intersection

First 120 ft is 5 ft. wide, rest is 4 ft wide

Large lip at bridge

Max cross slopes are exceeded at drives

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

212' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: 161 → W. Harp **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Moore and W. Harp

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 380 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 9.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: max cross slope exceeded at driveways

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

152' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 72 to end of sidewalk **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Coffee

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 152 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS: _____

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Westwood Driveway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Taylor St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Westwood Driveway & Lynchburg Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 99 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: _____

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Butler Cir. to I72 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Coffee St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oakdale St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: driveway

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 197 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

80' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I70 to Butler Cir. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Coffee St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Butler Cir.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 05-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 315 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

No passing Space,

No curb ramps at Butler Circle intersection

No Crosswalk at Butler Circle intersection

80' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem	✓	

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I41 to I17 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Wiley St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 573 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

cross slopes at driveways max,

59' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I75 to I41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Wiley St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 609 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: _____ %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: ~~___~~ % 3.8

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

No curb ramps at first missionary Baptist Church driveway

140' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Oakdale **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: U.E.S.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 86 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

10' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 73 to W.E.S. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: ~~536~~ LF 411 + 125 = 536

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk @ W.E.S.

72' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I73/westwood driveway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 544 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: none L/H

COMMENTS:

Excessive cracking in a particular section.

40' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I72 to I71 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Cherry St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-16-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 678 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 9.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

40' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Lynchburg Rd. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Lynchburg Rd. to Jacoby Jamison Ln.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jacoby Jamison Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-19 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 175 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 8.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Max slope 8.0% at driveway

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Lynchburg Rd. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Lynchburg Rd. (Sunny Ct. to Woodrow)

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodrow St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

42
38
8
4

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 455 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

crossslope @ drive ways = max
5.2 max slope @ drive of house @ corner of woodrow st.

92' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Lynchberg **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Jacoby Jamison

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lynchberg

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** Trey Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 268.5 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

10' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: alley to I 45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 72 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I45 → I48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.25 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 125 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: water drive thru to I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope exceeds 2%.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 126 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

126' replacement Low priority

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: N. Irwin **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin from crosswalk at Hwy 41 to City Hall parking lot

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 228 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Max cross slope @ dumpster area

Max slope not feasible to fix; cross slopes can be fixed

44' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: N. Irwin/City Hall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: City Hall Drive Thru and Back parking lot

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 100 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Cross slope can be fixed

100' replacement low priority

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I55 to I54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 7 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 162 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: N. Spring St. I55 to I54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 9 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 201 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

7 ft. of furniture/plant zone in addition to 9 ft. width.
Store front merchandise at Tolivers ??

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 54 to I 45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 9 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 193 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

7 ft. of furniture/plant zone in addition to 9 ft. width

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 54 to I 45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 7 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 157 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS: _____

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Irwin → I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin & Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 7.25 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 163 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Irwin → I-55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin + Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 191 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: 1 L/H
Furniture that can be moved

COMMENTS: Total width is 16 ft.

First 8 ft. is landscape and furniture area against street

Cross slope is infeasible to fix

Canopy at 117 W. Main has poles in sidewalk but 5 ft. to go around

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I45 → W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort + W. Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6.25 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 199 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 6.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H
in 6.25' section

COMMENTS: 16.25' wide total
8' toward street is landscape and furniture zone

It is technically infeasible to fix cross slope.

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I45 → W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main and W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: J. Sain **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 1.25 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 159 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Narrows down to 3.25 ft. wide at curb ramp down to handicap parking

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I45→I48 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41 and N. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☑ Other Pea gravel finish (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 119 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Utility boxes in sidewalk leave lips > 1/4"

Max slope and cross slope close to Hwy 41

Running slope will be close to impossible to fix

20' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I58 → I61 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: main & McLean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sa:

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 127 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: Pole L/H

COMMENTS: Electric pole into sidewalk 1 ft.

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I58 to I6 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Curb ramps at drive of Main St. Church of Christ

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 550 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Crosswalk at Main St. Church of Church is 5 ft wide to 5inch lines on asphault sections throughout

214' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I59 to I58 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodland St

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 166 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

19' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I59 to I58 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Main

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: sidewalk not connected fully

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 75 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 8.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

yes

all of it

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 58 to I 55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-19 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 10.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 364 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 9.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

protruding object: step to arts center 2ft
light pole 3ft.

Max slope 18.7% baker bros to arts center

4" Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: E. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 153 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 15.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Tree roots have busted sidewalk
153' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: E. Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Main St. N. Ramsey to railroad tracks

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Depot Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisk

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 79 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Replace to meet grade @ railroad tracks 79' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I6 to I58 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 319 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 8.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Sidewalk ends at 214 E. Main & remaining up to I58 is asphalt parking lot

88' Missing

203' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I54 to I59 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 290 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

width changes to 5 ft. @ 117 Church

No handrails at steps in front of 117 Church

131' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

IDEWALK ID: I54 to I59 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 356 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 10.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

Curb at Henley's driveway obstructs side walk
Steps at Henley's need ramp & handrails
protruding pavement
protruding pole

Drainage issue 116 Feet

73' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I59 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 387 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 14.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

No curb ramps or crosswalks at DREMC drives

44' Replacement

Strip curb ramp @ end

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I58 to I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: ~~Asphalt Section~~ ½ asphalt

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 7.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 364 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

73' replacement

Curb stops obstructing asphalt* sidewalk

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

(2)

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I6 → N. Ramsey St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Ramsey St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 167 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Missing segments of sidewalk and needs to meet grade of the railroad tracks

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I53→ end of sidewalk at bank **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. High

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 109 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: _____

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I53 → I54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Fort & High

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☑ Other Brick (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 1 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 205 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Brick in front of High Cotton

No curb ramp at alleyway behind the Mercantile - 5 inch step

144' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I53 → end of sidewalk **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. High

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring + Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sein

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 261 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 7.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 11.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: 179 ft. (4 ft. wide)
Rest is 6 ft. wide

Steps @ 108 E. High and Ramp @
104 E. High going down to parking
area do not meet ADA

Steps have no railing

88' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: IS3 → end of sidewalk **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. High

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring & Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 249 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Tree roots have busted up sidewalk in front of Manchester Times

Steps down to road have no railing
Steps do not meet minimums
No passing zones

Curb ramps at Cook Chiropractic do not meet max slope

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

120' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Park to I 59 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodland St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 270 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

No Passing zone: Infeasible due to hill

26' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I53 to N. Irwin **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☑ Other to brick (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 181 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 8.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

widens to 6ft. after High Cotton
narrows back down to 3ft. at real estate office

82' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: N. Irwin to I53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 223 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

4 ft width for 110 ft.
5 ft width for remaining

106' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I53 to I54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 209 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 7.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Step down to parking area does not have railing

down spout drain has >1/2 inch lip

72' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 to I61 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: ~~Hooted~~ Woodland St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Mclean St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: guard railing needed 4ft drop to road from sidewalk. Steps at each ~~end~~ end with no railing

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ ~~No~~

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 139 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

92' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I61 to I56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McClean St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 315 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

Steps at I61 curb have no railings
electric pole 1ft. into sidewalk
sidewalk at driveways steps no curb ramps

86' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I57 to Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Irwin St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 205 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 13.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

5 ft. width at Rymer's cleaners

66' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem	✓	

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I56 to I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 8 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 207 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

2ft furniture/protruding objects

49' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 to I56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McClean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 44 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

22' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I56 → Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41 & Inclem

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Scia

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 88 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: Pole L/H

COMMENTS: Electric pole (signal) in middle of sidewalk @ Hwy 41

79' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I56 → I55 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: main & mclean

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 10 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 207 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS: Light poles into sidewalk 28 inches leaving 7'8"

Trash cans along buildings sticking out 2 ft.

?

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I56 → I57 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Mclean

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring & Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 205 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: + L/H
Comments

COMMENTS: max slope @ Volunteer Paint

Bench @ Coffee Café stick into sidewalk 2 ft.

1 ft. x 1 ft. cut out at Volunteer Paint for vent

Large lips @ utility boxes @ Coffee Café

Drainage Problem @ Rymer's Cleaners

62' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem	✓	✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Main and 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Main and Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Irwin & Hwy 41, & Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 306 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H (comment)

COMMENTS: Handicap parking sign is in sidewalk 18 in.

Large lips and holes @ utility boxes
Electric pole in sidewalk protruding 2 ft. @ intersection of Fort and Hwy 41

71' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

3

SIDEWALK ID: S. Irwin to Hwy 41 at S Irwin ramp **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Main St. to portion of Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: ~~80~~ LF 300

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 7.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Cross slope NF

Chunk out of sidewalk

9" Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I56 → Bill Nickels office **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McLean

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 122 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: shrubs L/H

COMMENTS: Bushes @ Bill Nickels office need to be trimmed

Max slope and cross slope could be fixed

26' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Heritage Circle **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Heritage Circle outside sidewalk

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Drain on South side of subdivision crosses sidewalk leaving extreme bump

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

North Arrow

Outside of Heritage Circle

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 2347 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS: cross slopes at driveways exceed 2% slope

Needs a curb ramp at the start of the sidewalk coming in the subdivision

Crosswalks are needed at start of subdivision

No parking spaces

233' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Heritage Circle **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Heritage Circle inside sidewalk

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Cross Slopes at some driveways exceed 2% max

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☐ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

North Arrow

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 2150 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

No passing spaces

122' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Volunteer Place **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hamilton Ct. Volunteer Place Subdivision

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Doak

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Sidewalk missing at un-developed lots
Most driveways exceed 2% max cross-slope
No passing spaces, No curb ramps at subdivision entrance,
utility box at 15 Hamilton Ct. has 2 inch lips + at 200 + 212 1 inch lip

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☐ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

North Arrow

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 2375 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS: 970' + 122' = 1,092' Install and Replacement
No passing space

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I2 → CCRA **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: School Driveway Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Off-ramp

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 122 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: [illegible]

Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width of sidewalk

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I37 to I36 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodbury Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hendrixson

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Crossslopes at business drives exceed 2% max

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1364 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

maybe all of it 4.2% cross-slope or more for most of length

~60' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 38 to end **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodbury Hwy ends at ~~Peters~~ Praters

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slopes at drives exceeds 2% max, no curb ramp at end of sidewalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 570 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	~~✓~~
Depressed Down	✓	~~✓~~
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

386' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I39 to I38 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodbury Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Place

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: ~~No curb ramp~~ cross-slopes at drives greatly exceeds 2% max, no passing space

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 453 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 11.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

224' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I39 → I40 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Mayfield and Perrigan

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 444 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: comments L/H

COMMENTS: Circle Hole in sidewalk at Mayfield intersection

Mailbox protrudes into sidewalk 1 ft. between 43 and 53 in. high

No passing spaces

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

185' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I2 to I1 end **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McMinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1054 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H
guard rail

COMMENTS:

2.5 inch lip at bridge
narrows to 4 ft width at end of guard rail

Edged 4' + 18' = 54' Replacement
+18' on bridge Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I36 → I13 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hendrixson and Ewell

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 615 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: mailboxes L/H

COMMENTS: mailboxes protrude into sidewalk 1 ft between 35-48 in.

Max Cross-slope exceeded at all driveways

No passing spaces

sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width

200' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: I50 to End

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Service Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 3-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 993 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS: Max Cross Slope Exceeded

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Duncan St. to W. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1746 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Widens to 5ft. at bridge

407' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I12 to I11 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodbury Hwy / Murfreesboro Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Glenburg Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No passing space, Mailbox protruding, manhole buckled up, excessive cross-slope at drives, spalling at one section

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 720 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

157' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Fire Hall 2 to I12 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodbury Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Walker St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No passing space, minor cracking, excessive cross-slope at driveways

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiscus

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 433 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

113' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Woodbury Hwy **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Ewell St. to Fire hall 2

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ewell St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Mailbokes protruding, no passing spaces, drives exceed 2% max cross-slope

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1159 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 6.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

148' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 / Hwy 53 / Irwin St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 53 / N. Irwin

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 + 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1110 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

Sidewalk has depressed at catch basin before bridge

No passing spaces

5 ft. wide from start of bridge to City Hall

Max slope on bridge

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

147' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I9 → Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Campbell & Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Soin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 601 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: 1 L/H (comment)

COMMENTS:

Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width

No parking zones

Depressed down at catch basin at United Auto sales

Mailbox at United Auto protrudes into sidewalk 10in. between 46-50 in.

Excessive cracking at Hwy 41 intersection

133' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I9 → I10 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland and Campbell

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sch

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 69 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 10.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

50' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

210 Woodbury Hwy

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: ID → Tri-County **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 122 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Max cross slope exceeded at drive of McCoy Place

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

37' replacement

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I40 → I10 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Perrigan + Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1173 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: No passing zones

Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width

235' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Duncan St. to I50 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Harman In

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope at drives exceeds 2% max, mailboxes protruding > 12 inches

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 463 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: ~~11~~ % 3.0

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

Cross Slope NE

30" Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: N. Irwin / City Hall Back Parking / I48 lot **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 / N. Irwin / W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin / W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sea

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 453 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: 1 L/H
comment

COMMENTS: City Hall Drives need detectable domes and a crosswalk
Cross-Slope of City Hall driveway is 11%

Intersection signal pole protrudes into sidewalk 42" at intersection of W. Fort has clearance of 42" around it

63' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: S Spring St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: exceeds max slope, electric pole protrudes 4 ft into sidewalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 8 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 35 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 13.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 6.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

Small new section

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I42 → I88 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison / College

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 125 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk needs to be edged

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Ramsey → I42 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 s

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ramsey and Madison

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 482 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I-57 → Spring **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McLean / Spring

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 155 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 college St. to I 3

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 55

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 565 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: ~~89~~ % 44

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

146' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hillsboro Blvd (Madison St. to College St.)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope exceeds max 2%

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 247 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

28' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hillsboro Blvd. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hillsboro Blvd. (S Ramsey to Madison St.)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope exceed < 2%, 2-3 joint separations

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 402 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

18' replacement (joint separation not excessive)

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ramsey St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Spalling at bridge, 18.9% slope onto bridge

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 790 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

9 ft. width after bridge for ~40ft.
& 9ft width at S. Ramsey corner

122' replacement + 160' bridge sidewalk

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Spring St. to Woodland **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Woodland St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 312 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

93' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 (W. Main St. to Spring St)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: excessive cross-slope at driveways

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 699 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

9ft. width at W. Main Corner to 8ft width at S. Spring Corner

350' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I48 to W. Main St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope exceeds 2% Max

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: _____ **INSPECTOR:** _____

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 172 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

12 foot width at I48

26' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I87 → I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 55 and College

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 609 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk needs to be edged

47' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland / Ramsey

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 848 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: @ Water meter is in sidewalk at strip mall - hole cut out and missing

8 inch step onto bridge at "Old Mill" on both sides

191' replacement + 160' bridge sidewalk replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring / Woodland

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 408 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: None L/H

COMMENTS: Cross slope exceeded at driveways

44' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I43 to W. Fort **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Church St. (W. Main to W. Fort)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Sidewalk goes around the corner at W. Main St. no curb ramp needed. sidewalk end with curb lip at W. Fort St. no curb ramp, minor cracking

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 212 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Ramsey St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: sidewalk end in grass, no curb ramp

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 68 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 14.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Woodland St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: There is a 14% slope at old driveway section, minor cracking

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 137 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: ~~Hillsboro Blvd~~ N. Church St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Sidewalk end at CCB drive through, no curb ramp at end. protruding shrubs ~ 1 foot into sidewalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 73 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 7.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Main St. (N. Church to end)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No passing spaces, slopes exceed maximums, sidewalk end at rear Church parking lot in grass, no curb ramp, depressed down in one small section, section of sidewalk missing at old backyard driveway leaving large lip.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-8 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 231 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 10.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

20' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Main St. I43 to end

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: ~~Hillsboro Blvd~~ N. Church St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: extreme buckling due to large trees/stumps, sidewalk end at N. Church St. in grass No curb ramp

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 136 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 8.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 15.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

130' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Woodland St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: 4 ft width in front of 307 S. Woodland St., Sidewalk ends at E. Moore St. into a large bush no curb ramp

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 300 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 8.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

160' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Church St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. High St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: ~~Sidewalk~~ & Sidewalk continues around corner at W. High St. No curb ramp to cross to sidewalk at city cemetary

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 213 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

74' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Madison St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☑ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: 2 electric poles protruding ~ 1 foot into sidewalk, sidewalk covered with asphalt for drive of gas station leaving large lip

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 181 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

30' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Ramsey St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: sidewalk ends in grass no curb ramp, max cross-slope is at driveway

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-4-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 90 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 12.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 7.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

17' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: W. High St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. High St. (at city cemetary)

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No passing space, no curb ramps at either end

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-5-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 391 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

78' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. High St. (W. Fort to driveway of 603 W. High St.)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No curb ramps at either end, sidewalk missing at driveways, overgrown shrubs protruding into sidewalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-5-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 4.5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 813 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 5.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

110' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. High St. (N. Church St. to W. Fort

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No passing space, sidewalk missing/depressed at some driveways, no curb ramp at end (ends in grass), fire hydrant protruding ~1 ft into sidewalk at W. Fort end

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-5-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 813 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 4.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

Width varies 4.5-5 ft.

152' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I30 → Speedway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 143 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Exceeds max cross-slope

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: McArthur St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St. I3 to Walgreens

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope exceeds 2% max, minor spalling

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 221 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: McArthur St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Walgreens driveway to Coffee St. (I-78)

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Coffee St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slopes at drives exceed max.
Sidewalk covered with asphalt in some sections

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1094 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

447' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: McArthur St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: I78 to I14 McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Parks St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope at driveways 7.7% exceeds max.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1284 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 7.7 % 3.3

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

310' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I14 to I15 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Park St. to Madison St. McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: minor cracking, cross-slopes at driveways exceed Max.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 781 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 7.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

227' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I15 to I18 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St. Madison to Oak dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: buildup at section of sidewalk narrowing it to 3.5 ft.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 172 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

132' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I-18 Oak Dr **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oak Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope exceeds 2%

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 76 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 3.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 6.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

all of it

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I18 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oak Dr

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: cross-slope exceeds Max

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 86 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

all of it (cross-slope

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I18 to Bryan Ave **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bryan Ave

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: buildup of sidewalk edge narrowing width to 4ft.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1097 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

236' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Willow Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Crossslope exceeds 2%

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 230 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

45' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I30 → I31 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr. / Medical Park Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Stein

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1135 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 05 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.3 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk has buckled at drainage tile before Respiratory at Home

Sidewalk needs to be cleared and edged

#28' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I33 → I30 end of sidewalk along Oak Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Keylon / Oak Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 545 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS: Electric pole in sidewalk along Oak Dr. protruding into sidewalk 15 in.

Needs to be cleaned and edged

130' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I32 → I33 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Parks / Keylon

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 512 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS: Ligsat drive to Horizon Health Care

Sidewalk needs to be edged

56' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I8 → I32 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lowry / Parks

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1091 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 0.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS: 909 - Excessive cracking

911 - Large lip

mailbox at 915 McArthur St protrudes into sidewalk 19 in between 42-54 in.

919 - Excessive cracking leaving lip

Sidewalk needs to be edged

248' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Rye → I8 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rye and Lowry

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Swim

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 412 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: 1 L/H
comment

COMMENTS: mailbox at Clearwater protrudes 9 in. between 38-48 in.

Excessive cracking in front of Clearwater and Exit Realty, everywhere else is fine

145' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I3 → Rye St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41 and Rye

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 950 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Cross-slope exceeded at most drives

Section of sidewalk missing at 815 McArthur

manhole sticking up at Tobacco and Beer store

Sidewalk needs to be cleaned and edged

415' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

IDEWALK ID: Willow Dr. to I19 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St. (Willow to Bartlett)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bartlett Dr.

MATERIAL: ☐ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Cross-slopes at all drives greatly exceed 2% max

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1977 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

708' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I19 to I20 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: East End Rd.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Mailbox at 1502 protrudes ~2 ft. into sidewalk
cross-slopes exceed 2% max

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 938 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.6 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 10.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

312' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I20 to W. Kennedy Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 2874 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 6.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

1110' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: West Kennedy Dr. to end **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: New Tullahoma Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: West Kennedy

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1390 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

294' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: I24 to I22 New Tullahoma Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Kennedy Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Minor cracking

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 372 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Needs to be edged

44' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I22 to I23 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: New Tullahoma Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lincoln St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Cross-slope at drives exceed max 2%

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 501 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

122' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I21 → I23 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Royal / Lincoln

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 429 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: sidewalk needs to be edged

max cross slope exceeded

120' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I25 → I21 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Shady / Royal Trl

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Max cross-slope

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

North Arrow



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 396 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk needs to be edged

100' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I26 → I25 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Edgewood / Shady

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

North Arrow



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 482 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: 1705 McArthur - depressed down at catch basin causing a lip

Sidewalk needs to be cleaned and edged

115' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I27 → I26 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McMahan / Edgewood

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 320 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.8 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Lip and depressed down at catch basin @ Edgewood intersection

130' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I28→I27 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: East End / Michigan

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 338 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk needs to be edged

Depressed down @ inlet catch basin @ Michigan intersection

90' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I29 → I28 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Heritage Circle / East End

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 512 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Depressed down at manhole @ Trinity Baptist

115' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Nichols → I29 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Nichols Driveway / Heritage Circle

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 800 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.5 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Depressed around manhole between church and Admin Building

Lips in front of 1421 McArthur Ct

Needs to be edged

307' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Preserve → Admin **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Preserve Ln / Admin Drive

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: MAX Cross-slope exceeded

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sik

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 184 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS:

Preserve → Medial ✓
335' Replacement

Preserve → Admin ✓
36' Replacement

Admin → Admin ✓
65' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Admin / Nichols **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Admin Dr. / Nichols driveway

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 13 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Excellent work condition

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Admin **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Admin Drives

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/1/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 281 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS:

65' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I31→~~Ridge~~ Heritage Preserve **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West Preserve

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Medical Park Dr. / Heritage ~~Ridge~~

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 120 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.0 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: Pipe L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk needs to be edged

Pipe in sidewalk at townhome entrance protrudes 1 ft.

Max cross slope exceeded at most drives

335' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: New Tullahoma Hwy (end to Red Raider Dr.)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Red Raider Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Minor Spalling, Minor cracking, Cross-Slope exceeds max

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1080 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: _____ L/H

COMMENTS:

Needs to be dgd

84' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I4 → I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McMinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Ave. / Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 297 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 4.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: No detectable domes at Rite-Aid entrance and slope of 5.2% on north side

Sidewalk needs to be edged

11' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I86 → I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Mchinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1045 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.2 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Sidewalk needs to be edged and cleaned

No curb ramps down to drives at drives of old Tadpoles building

Lip at 122 Mchinnville Hwy

Excessive cracking in drive of Tadpoles building

1 1/2" Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I4 → end of sidewalk **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Inchinnville. Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Ave.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Sidewalk needs to be cleaned and edged

71' South Replacement 384' Bridge Sidewalk Replacement

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1273 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Excessive cracking at south side of bridge

Depressed down at manhole on south side of bridge

Slope of 9.5% getting on bridge on south side
Slope of 1.1% getting on bridge on north side

Excessive cracking and spalling on bridge

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I79 to I5 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 Rye to Raven

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Raven St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 194 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.4 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

50' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I80 to I79 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 (Jackson St. to ~~Rutledge~~ Rye St.)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: ~~Rutledge~~ S/. Rye

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Minor Cracking

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 357 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS:

25' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I81 to I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: polk St. to Jackson St. Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.

SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 483 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

124' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I82 to I81 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Johnson St. to Polk St Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Polk St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisle

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 235 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

56' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I83 to I82 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 (McMellar Dr. to Johnson St.)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Johnson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 454 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

10' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Winner Ln to McKellar I83

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McKellar Dr.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 404 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

145' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I5 to I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 (Raven St. to Hwy 55)

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 55

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: excessive slopes at Rite Aid driveway
minor cracking

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ ~~No~~
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 338 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.7 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.4 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

132' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I85 to Winners Ln **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Winners Ln

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: 21.60% slopes at Fire Dept. driveway, water taps buckled up.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1023 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.1 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Needs Detail at intersection Clover Ln.

180' Replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: US Bank → First Baptist Church **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 114 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: _____

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: FBC to I84 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: First Baptist Church driveway to I84

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: protruding pole 2 ft.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 825 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.5 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.8 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:

261' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I84 to Clover Ln. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Clover Ln.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 1073 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 2.1 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 5.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

536' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up	✓	
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Clover Ln to I85 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 562 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

Sidewalk ends in grass at elk river

170' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Food Lion → I80 Farrar Place **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 191 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.9 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS: Lip at old driveway in front of Food Lion

22' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I79 to Food Lion Farrar Place Rd.? **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Minor cracking

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 220 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.3 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 1.6 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: X L/H

COMMENTS:

78' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: Hwy 41 I3 to I79 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: _____

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rye St.

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: electric pole at I3 protrudes 3.5 ft. into sidewalk
No crosswalk at Rye St.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 6 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 573 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 1.2 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 3.7 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: ✓ L/H

COMMENTS:
No crosswalk at Sonic ??

414' replacement

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip	✓	
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down	✓	
Excessive Cracking	✓	
Excessive Spalling	✓	
Joint Separation (>1/2")	✓	
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

APPENDIX J

DEFICIENCY SUMMARY – SIDEWALKS

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
0	SW032	155, 156	Max Slope= 9.2% (NF), Cross Slope= 2.6% (F), L, BU, DD, EC, JS	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$6,000	General Fund
1	SW033	153	L, ES, G, NP	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$4,100	General Fund
2	SW034	161	Cross Slope= 3.8% (F), L, BU, DD, EC	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$8,400	General Fund
4	SW036	155, 156	Max Slope= 7.2% (NF), L, EC, JS	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$1,200	General Fund
5	SW037	153, 156	BU caused by manhole, L, ES, NP	1	Replace/repair sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$4,800	General Fund
6	SW038	152	L, NP (NF)	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$1,560	General Fund
7	SW039	155	Cross Slopes and Running Slopes > 30% at drives, Max Cross Slope=3.7% (F), L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, NP	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$30,060	General Fund
8	SW040	155	Cross Slope=2.5% (F), Max Cross Slope= 7.7% at 313 College St., L, EC, NP	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$4,260	General Fund
9	SW041	156	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, DP, NP	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$9,120	General Fund
10	SW042	163	Cross Slope= 5.2% at driveways	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$2,400	General Fund
11	SW043	161, 163	L, DD, EC, JS, Max Cross Slope= 2.8%	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$2,400	General Fund
12	SW044	161, 163	L, DD, EC, JS, NP	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$4,320	General Fund
13	SW045	155, 156	Max Slope= 6.9% (NF), Cross Slope= 2.5% (F), L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$4,200	General Fund
14	SW046	152, 153	Max Slope= 6.1% (NF), Cross Slope= 3.8% (F), L, DP	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$8,440	General Fund
15	SW047	151	Cross Slope= 3.3% (F) Low Priority	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$6,000	General Fund
16	SW048	161	Cross Slope= 3.8% (F), EC	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$600	General Fund
A191	SW112	155	JS and Cross Slope= 2.6% (NF)	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$1,080	General Fund
A197	SW103	155, 158	L, EC	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$2,820	General Fund
A202	SW015	149, 151	L, BU, DD because of catch basin, EC, NP, and Cross Slope= 7.7% (F)	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$8,820	General Fund
A203	SW028	151	Protruding signal pole (NF) and maximum cross slopes exceeded at City Hall drives	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$3,780	General Fund
A211	SW105	149	BU, DD, EC, and Cross Slope= 10.3% (F)	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$3,000	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
A212	SW106	149	L, BU, DD because of catch basin, EC, NP, Protruding mailbox at 34 Woodbury Hwy (United Auto) of 10 in., and needs to be edged to get full width	1	Repair sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$7,980	General Fund
A213	SW101	157	Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	1	Repair sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$3,660	General Fund
A217	SW108	171	L, DD, and needs to be edged to get full width	1	Repair sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$2,640	General Fund
A219	SW109	171	L, Cross Slope= 3.8% (F), and needs to be edged to get full width	1	Replace/repair sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$5,040	General Fund
A261	SW116	166	L, DD, Cross Slope= 3.1% (NF)	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$3,900	General Fund
A279	SW111	155	L, BU, DD, EC	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$1,800	General Fund
A218	SW118	171	L, ES, Cross Slope= 3.1% (F)	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$17,640	General Fund
A240	SW102	155, 156	L, EC, No curb ramps at drives of old daycare facility, and needs to be edged to get full width	1	Repair sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$8,520	General Fund
A243	SW104	155, 156	L, DD, EC, ES, Excessive Slope getting on bridge on both sides, and needs to be edged to get full width, 384' of bridge sidewalk	1	Replace/repair sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$50,340	General Fund
A269	SW110	161, 165	Lips at drive to Horizon Health Care and sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	1	Repair sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$3,360	General Fund
A285	SW113	151	Cross Slope= 5.4% (F) Low Priority	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$7,560	General Fund
A286	SW020	151	Max Cross Slope at dumpster area= 4.7% (F), Max Slope= 6.1% (NF), L	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$2,640	General Fund
A296	SW107	149	L, BU, DD, EC, NP, Mailboxes protruding (NF), and Cross Slope= 6.5% (F)	1	Repair sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$8,880	General Fund
A297	SW115	155	Pole in sidewalk leaving 3.5 ft. to pass, Max Cross Slope= 4.6% at old driveways, L, JS	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$5,100	General Fund
17	SW049	152	L, DD, EC, ES, and Cross Slope= 3.1% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$12,840	General Fund
18	SW050	152	L, EC, JS, Cross Slope= 3.0% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$12,180	General Fund
19	SW051	147	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, NP, Maximum cross slope exceeded at all driveways, Protruding mailboxes, and needs to be edged to get full width	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$12,000	General Fund
22	SW054	161, 163	Cross Slope= 4.4% (F), Needs to be edged, Water meter in sidewalk at 122 W. Coffee St., L, BU, EC, ES, JS	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$12,180	General Fund
23	SW055	161	Cross Slope= 3.8% at driveways, L, BU, EC, ES	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$3,540	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
24	SW056	152, 155	Excessive Slope at Patch Manor walkway, L, BU, NP	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$1,800	General Fund
25	SW057	152, 155	Steps down to roadway with no railing, L, BU, DD, NP	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$1,800	General Fund
26	SW058	155	Max Slope=9.1% (F), Cross Slope=3.3% (F), L, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$1,560	General Fund
27	SW059	155	Cross Slope= 2.8% (F), Protruding shrubs, chunks missing from edge, L, BU	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$3,060	General Fund
29	SW061	146, 147	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, NP, Cross Slopes exceed maximum at driveways	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$13,440	General Fund
33	SW065	151	L, BU, DD, JS, Brick in front of High Cotton,and 5 in. step at alleyway behind the Mercantile	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$8,640	General Fund
A327	SW114	160	L, BU, EC, needs detectable warnings at Clover Ln. intersection, and 21.6% slope at Fire Hall driveway	1	Replace sidewalk	FY '23/'24	$10,800	General Fund
A431	GW001 - GW020	149 - 153	L, EC, ES, Buckled boards need to be replaced on the wooden sections, and Railing needs to be installed on one side of the greenway at the girl's softball fields where there is a 41 in. drop off	1	Replace/repair sidewalk and bo	FY '23/'24	$21,528	General Fund
28	SW060	146, 147	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, Cross Slopes exceed maximum for entire section, Min. Cross Slope= 4.2% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '24/'25	$51,600	General Fund
30	SW062	146	L, BU, DD, EC, JS, Cross Slopes exceed maximum at driveways	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '24/'25	$23,160	General Fund
31	SW063	147	L, BU, DD, NP, Hole in sidewalk, Protruding mailbox	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '24/'25	$11,100	General Fund
32	SW064	153	L, BU, EC, ES, G,NP	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '24/'25	$14,300	General Fund
34	SW066	151	L, ES, Downspout drain has a lip, and Step down to parking area does not have a railing	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$6,684	General Fund
35	SW067	151, 152	L, BU, DD, EC, JS, Slope= 7.7% (NF) Cross Slope= 11.2% (NF), Stairs and ramp going down to parking area are not ADA compliant, and stairs have no railing	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$6,780	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain | Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com | Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
36	SW068	151, 152	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, NP, Curb ramps at Cook Chiropractic do not meet max slope, Stairs down to road have no railing, and Stair treads do not meet minimums	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$10,700	General Fund
37	SW069	151	L, BU, DD, EC, Slope= 8.2% (NF)	2	Repair sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$4,920	General Fund
38	SW070	151	L, BU, DD, EC, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$6,360	General Fund
39	SW071	151, 152	L, EC, ES, JS, Protuding pole (F), Steps at Henley Propane needs a handrail, Protruding pavement, Drainage Issue at 116 Fort St., and Cross Slope= 4.2% (NF)	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$7,860	General Fund
40	SW072	151, 152	L, EC, ES, Cross Slope= 2.6% (F), and Needs handrails at steps coming down to sidewalk at The Church at 117	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$4,380	General Fund
41	SW073	151, 154	EC, Cross Slope= 4.2% (F), and Protruding trash cans	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$1,800	General Fund
42	SW074	151, 154	EC, Protruding furniture, and Cross Slope= 2.8% (F)	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$2,940	General Fund
43	SW075	151, 152	L, EC, ES, and Curb stops obstructing asphalt sidewalk	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$4,380	General Fund
44	SW076	151, 152	ES, Maximum Slope= 18.7% (F), Cross Slope= 9.6% (NF)	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$2,460	General Fund
45	SW077	151, 154	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, DP at Rymer's Cleaners, and Protruding benches at Coffee Café	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$3,720	General Fund
46	SW078	151, 152	L, ES, Steps at I61 have no railings, Cross Slope= 2.4% (NF), and Sidewalk at driveways has no curb ramps	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$5,160	General Fund
47	SW079	151, 154	L, DD, EC, ES, JS, and Electric pole in sidewalk (NF)	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$4,740	General Fund
48	SW080	151, 154	DD, EC, Cross Slope= 2.4% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$1,320	General Fund
50	SW082	151, 154	L, DD, EC, JS, DP, Slope= 13.9% (NF), and Cross Slope= 4.9% (NF)	2	Repair sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$3,960	General Fund
51	SW083	152	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, and Cross Slope= 2.4% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$4,500	General Fund
52	SW084	152	L, BU, DD, EC, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$2,940	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
53	SW085	152, 155	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, Guard railing needed for 4 ft. drop to road from sidewalk, and Steps at each end with no railing	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '25/'26	$19,272	General Fund
54	SW086	155	Cross Slope= 2.8% (F), Protruding pole approx. 4 in. into sidewalk, L, BU, DD	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$1,560	General Fund
55	SW087	152	Cross Slope= 2.3% (F), Protruding stump, L, EC confined to one spot	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$2,400	General Fund
56	SW088	152, 155	Cross Slope= 2.3% (F), 24" drop to ditch, L	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$840	General Fund
57	SW089	155	Cross Slope= 2.3% (F), Max Cross Slope= 6.8% at 501 E. Harp St., Protruding electric pole, L, BU, EC	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$240	General Fund
58	SW090	152	Cross Slope= 2.8% (F), 24" dropoff at ditch, large chunks missing at edge of sidewalk, L, DD, EC	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$4,200	General Fund
59	SW091	152, 155	Sections missing at Leming House (414 E. Main Street) Driveway and 408 E. Main St., L, JS	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$1,440	General Fund
60	SW092	152, 155	Cross Slope= 3.6% (F), L, BU, EC, ES, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$1,860	General Fund
61	SW093	154	Max Slope= 7.0% (NF), Max Cross Slope >max at driveways, L, DD, EC, ES, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$9,120	General Fund
62	SW094	154	Running Slope= 8.9% (NF), Short section missing on north side of Rayburn/Spring intersection, Max Cross Slopes > max at drives, L, DD, EC	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$12,720	General Fund
63	SW095	154, 163	Max Cross Slope= 8.1% at drive of HUD Housing, Cross Slopes >max at all driveways, No curb ramps at drive of HUD Housing, Sidewalk needs to be edged, Electric pole in sidewalk at 500 Spring St., L, BU, EC, JS, G, NP	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$18,840	General Fund
64	SW096	154, 163	Cross Slope= 3.0% (F), Max Cross Slope=13.9% at HUD Housing (F), Excessive flares at all driveways (F), Max Slope= 11.6% (NF), L, BU, DD, EC, JS, G, NP	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$13,200	General Fund
65	SW097	154, 163	Cross Slope= 2.2% (F), Electric poles in middle of sidewalk (NF), L, G	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$1,200	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain | Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com | Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
66	SW098	161, 163	Cross Slope= 4.0% (F), L, DD, EC, ES, DP, NP	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$4,800	General Fund
67	SW099	163	Cross Slope= 2.6% at driveway (F), L, EC, ES	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$4,800	General Fund
68	SW100	169	NP and maximum cross slopes exceeded at some driveways	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$13,980	General Fund
20 / 21	SW052 / SW053	154, 161, 163	Max Cross Slope= 5.1% at drive, Sidewalk is 5 ft. wide from 608 Spring St. to W. Short St., Sidewalk needs to be edged, L, BU, DD, EC, ES, NP	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$24,000	General Fund
A190	SW155	155	L, DD, EC, ES , and 18.9% slope onto bridge	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$27,984	General Fund
A194	SW121	155, 158	L, DD, EC	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$8,760	General Fund
A195	SW156	151, 152	L, BU, DD, EC, Cross slope exceeded at drives	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$2,640	General Fund
A196	SW154	152, 155	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, missing section at water meter in sidewalk at strip mall, 8 inch step onto bridge on both sides	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$30,660	General Fund
A199	SW027	151	L, JS, and Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF)	2	Repair sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$1,560	General Fund
A209	SW126	149	L, DD, EC, ES, NP, and Sidewalk needs to be edged	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '26/'27	$14,100	General Fund
A200	SW014	149, 151	L, BU, DD, EC, NP, and Cross Slope= 4.2% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$24,420	General Fund
A207	SW029	151, 154	L, DD, EC, ES, and excessive cross slope at drives	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$21,000	General Fund
A210	SW127	149	L, BU, DD, and Max Cross Slope exceeded at McCay Place	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$2,220	General Fund
A214	SW122	158	L, BU, DD, EC, ES, JS, Section of sidewalk missing, Cross Slope exceeded at most drives, and Sidewalk needs to be edged	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$24,900	General Fund
A215	SW125	158, 161	L, BU, DD, EC, and Cross Slope= 2.6% (NF)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$8,700	General Fund
A216	SW134	170, 171	L, JS, and Cross Slope maximum exceeded at driveways	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$7,320	General Fund
A222	SW153	155	L, BU, DD, EC	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$9,600	General Fund
A226	SW129	149	L, NP, and Excessive cross slope at drives	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$6,780	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain | Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com | Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
A227	SW128	149	L, BU, ES, NP, and Cross Slope= 3.5% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$9,420	General Fund
A239	SW131	165	L, EC, ES, Cross Slope= 6.3% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$4,560	General Fund
A241	SW119	157	L, EC, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$5,220	General Fund
A242	SW120	155, 158	JS, No detectable domes at Rite-Aid entrance and slope of 5.2% on north side, and needs to be edged to get full width	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$660	General Fund
A245	SW139	165	L, BU, DD, EC, Cross Slope maximum exceeded at most drives, Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$20,100	General Fund
A247	SW142	158, 161	L, EC, ES, JS, Cross Slopes at driveways exceeds maximum, Sidewalk covered in asphalt in some sections	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$26,820	General Fund
A258	SW135	168	L, DD, EC, ES, Cross Slope= 3.5% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$6,900	General Fund
A259	SW136	166, 168	L, BU, DD, EC, Cross Slope= 3.5% (F), and needs to be edged to get full width	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '27/'28	$18,420	General Fund
A250	SW132	165, 166	L, EC, and Cross Slope maximum exceeded at driveways	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$42,480	General Fund
A254	SW133	168, 171	L, EC, ES, Cross Slope= 3.8% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$66,600	General Fund
A263	SW130	165	L, DD, EC, Sidewalk needs to be cleaned	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$7,920	General Fund
A265	SW137	165, 166	L, BU, DD, EC, Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$25,680	General Fund
A268	SW138	161, 165	L, EC, ES, and needs to be edged to get full width	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$7,800	General Fund
A270	SW124	158, 161	L, BU, DD, EC, and sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	2	Repair sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$14,880	General Fund
A272	SW024	151	L, DD, NP, Cross Slope= 4.5% (NF)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$1,200	General Fund
A276	SW030	151	L, BU, EC, ES, JS, and Electric pole protruding 2 ft. at intersection of Hwy 41 and Fort St. (NF)	2	Repair sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$4,260	General Fund
A277	SW157	152	Includes all non-conformance details, would be best to remove entire section due to tree roots and no connectivity	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$9,180	General Fund
A278	SW140	151	L, BU, DD, EC, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$7,800	General Fund
A287	SW018	151	L, DD, EC, Missing sections, Protruding fire hydrant	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '28/'29	$9,120	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain | Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com | Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
A208	SW011	151, 152	L, EC	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$5,580	General Fund
A228	SW175	152, 155	Cross Slope= 3.0% (F) and L	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$300	General Fund
A289	SW019	151	L, DD, EC, NP, Missing sections, Protruding overgrown shrubs, Cross Slope= 4.9% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$6,600	General Fund
A291	SW148, SW149, SW150, SW151, SW152	167	L, NP, Sidewalk missing at some lots, and most driveways exceed maximum cross slope	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$65,520	General Fund
A295	SW141	151	L, BU, DD, Slope= 5.6% (NF), Cross Slope= 5.8% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$1,200	General Fund
A322	SW143	159	L, EC, JS	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$7,740	General Fund
A324	SW144	159, 160	L, EC, ES	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$6,060	General Fund
A329	SW146	159, 160	L, DD, EC, Cross Slope= 2.8% (F), and Protruding pole (NF)	2	Repair sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$15,660	General Fund
A330	SW145	160	L, BU, EC, and Cross Slope= 5.6% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$32,160	General Fund
A331	SW147	160	L, EC, ES, and Cross Slope= 2.6% (F)	2	Replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$10,200	General Fund
A334	SW025	158, 159	L, DD, EC, ES, JS, and Electric pole at I3 protrudes 3.5 ft. into sidewalk	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$24,840	General Fund
A335	SW123	152	L, BU, DD, EC, JS, Missing segments of sidewalk, and needs to meet grade to the railroad tracks	2	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '29/'30	$10,020	General Fund
A192	SW171	155, 158	EC and Cross Slope= 2.8% (NF)	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$1,680	General Fund
A201	SW173	149	Cross Slope at existing drives exceeds maximum (F) and protruding objects (NF)	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$1,800	General Fund
A205	SW172	155	Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	3	Repair sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$14,460	General Fund
A206	SW170	155	Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	3	Repair sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$3,750	General Fund
A221	SW022	151	L	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$4,440	General Fund
A223	SW008	155	Cross Slope= 14% (F)	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$1,800	General Fund
A225	SW174	152	L	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$1,140	General Fund
A235	SW117	155	Cross Slope= 3.7% (F), Max Slope= 14.4% (NF)	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$1,800	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
A237	SW163	165	L, Cross Slope= 5.9% (F)	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$5,160	General Fund
A244	SW081	151, 152	Cross Slope= 4.5% (F) and Maximum Slope Exceeded	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$1,560	General Fund
A246	SW004	165, 166	L, BU, and needs to be edged to get full width	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$14,160	General Fund
A248	SW162	158, 161	L, Cross Slopes at driveways exceed maximum	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '30/'31	$18,600	General Fund
A249	SW161	161, 165	L, Cross Slopes at driveways exceed maximum	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$13,620	General Fund
A251	SW164	168	L, Cross Slopes at driveways exceed maximum	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$18,720	General Fund
A252	SW166	170	Cross Slope= 3.8% (F)	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$6,000	General Fund
A253	SW165	170, 171	L, Cross Slope= 4.0% (F), Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$7,200	General Fund
A255	SW167	170	L, DD, Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$6,900	General Fund
A256	SW168	168	L, DD	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$7,800	General Fund
A257	SW169	168	L, DD, Sidewalk needs to be edged to get full width	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$5,400	General Fund
A260	SW005	166	Cross Slope= 5.9% (F)	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$2,160	General Fund
A264	SW013	165, 166	Cross Slope= 4.7% (F)	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$2,700	General Fund
A271	SW006	151	Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF), Protruding shrubs at bank	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$750	General Fund
A275	SW010	151, 154	Cross Slope= 7.5% (NF) and chunk out of sidewalk	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$540	General Fund
A280	SW009	164	JS	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$600	General Fund
A281	SW012	164	JS, Cross Slope= 5.2% (F) at drive ways	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$5,520	General Fund
A288	SW017	151	L, NP	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$4,680	General Fund
A290	SW158	169	L, NP, and maximum cross slopes exceeded at some driveways	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$7,320	General Fund
A320	SW160	159	EC	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$3,000	General Fund
A321	SW176	159	Cross Slope= 2.6% (NF) and EC	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$1,500	General Fund
A323	SW002	159, 160	L, ES, and Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF)	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$3,360	General Fund
A325	SW003	160	L, EC	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$8,700	General Fund
A326	SW159	158, 159	L, Cross slope maximum exceeded at 701 Hillsboro Blvd drive	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$7,920	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Sidewalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

Non-Conformance Details (L= >1/4" lip, BU= Buckled Up, DD= Depressed Down, EC= Excessive Cracking, ES=Excessive Spalling, JS= Joint Separation >1/2", G= Grates >1/2" or turned in the parallel direction of travel, DP=Drainage Problem, & NP= No Passing Space) F=Feasible to Fix and NF= Not Feasible to Fix

Item / Map #	SW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
A332	SW001	159	L, DD	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$1,320	General Fund
A333	SW177	159	L	3	Replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$4,680	General Fund
A432	SW007	155	Cross Slope= 7.9% (F), Max Slope= 12.1% (NF)	3	Repair/replace sidewalk	FY '31/'32	$2,100	General Fund
3	SW035	149	Protruding objects (NF), driveway cross-slope is 5.9%	4	Replace sidewalk at driveway	FY '32/'33	$2,000	General Fund
A233	SW026	151, 154	Slope= 13.7% (NF)	4	Replace/relocate sidewalk arou	FY '32/'33	$3,360	General Fund
A224	SW021	152	Cross Slope=2.8% (NF)	4	No Action		$0	
A273	SW016	151	Cross Slope=3.0% (NF)	4	No Action		$0	
A284	SW031	151	Cross Slope=6.5% (NF)	4	No Action		$0	

APPENDIX K

COMPLETED INSPECTION REPORT FORMS – CROSSWALKS

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

Priority 1

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I44 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: old stonefort entrance

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Morton Lake

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-7-16 **INSPECTOR:** T. C

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

↑ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	77	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55 / Soccer Field Entrance

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hillcrest Rd.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.8	%
Cross-Slope:	0.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	63	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: Kingwood **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Kingwood Arms to Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	61	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I47 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McMinnville Hwy / Waite St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Waite St

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** Jamie Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.1	%
Cross-Slope:	5.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	60	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I46 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Orr St. / Bell St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Bell St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	7.4	%
Cross-Slope:	3.4	%
Crosswalk Width:	16	FT
Crosswalk Length:	40	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I86 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55 / Orr St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Orr St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/8/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sein

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	77	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I64 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: College St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. Harp St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/9/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

→ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.6	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	~~10~~	FT
Crosswalk Length:	40	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 3

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I34 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Ramsey St. / E. McLean St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. McLean St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sein

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.8	%
Cross-Slope:	2.3	%
Crosswalk Width:	[illegible]	FT
Crosswalk Length:	34	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I 62 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Harp St. / Madison St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

→ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	4.3	%
Cross-Slope:	4.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	33	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: between I 62 to I 63 to I 66

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Waite

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other None (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-11-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

→ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.9	%
Cross-Slope:	1.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	27	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	0.7	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	28	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	0.8	%
Cross-Slope:	4.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	37	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No Crosswalks present

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I65 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. main St. / N. & S. White St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: White St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.9	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	4	FT
Crosswalk Length:	54	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	0.9	%
Cross-Slope:	0.6	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	41	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalks

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I35 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Hwy. St. / S. Ramsey St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☑ East ☐ West of S. Ramsey

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Ramsey St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/11/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	31	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: Rayburn / Spring → I67 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring / Rayburn and I67

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rayburn & W. ~~Main~~ Moore St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

Short section of sidewalk missing at north side of Spring/Rayburn intersection

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.9	%
Cross-Slope:	2.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	35	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	6.3	%
Cross-Slope:	12.5	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	25	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalks

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I68 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Spring / W. Short

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Short

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/15/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.3	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	42	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Harp St. / Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Harp St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5-15-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

↑ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	6.3	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	50	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

Priority 2

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I12 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Coffee

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☑ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No

(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.3	%
Cross-Slope:	5.8	%
Crosswalk Width:	3	FT
Crosswalk Length:	37	LF
Line Width	2 FT	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.7	%
Cross-Slope:	2.4	%
Crosswalk Width:	3	FT
Crosswalk Length:	16	LF
Line Width	2 FT	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: Not wide enough

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Coffee St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Coffee St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Butler Cir.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.9	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	62	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Wiley St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	3.1	%
Cross-Slope:	3.1	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	45	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I75 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale / Wiley

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: of Wiley Wiley St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

→ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	4.1	%
Cross-Slope:	2.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	4.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	25	LF
Line Width	4	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: Not wide enough

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Oakdale **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oakdale

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Westwood Driveway

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	3.1	%
Cross-Slope:	4.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	39	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Westwood Driveway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Taylor St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Westwood Driveway

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/16/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.6	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	4.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	54	LF
Line Width	5	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: Inadequate width

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Sunny Ct. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Lynchburg Rd. / Sunny Ct.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Sunny Ct.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	1.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	36	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No Crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Jacoby Jamison

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lynchberg

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☑ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-19 **INSPECTOR:** Troy Clark

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.9	%
Cross-Slope:	4	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	58	LF
Line Width	4"	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Lynchburg Rd. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: W. Taylor to Lynchburg

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Taylor

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Crosswalk width at Taylor St. 7ft.
width at Lynchburg 6.5 ft. at some point in middle width is 5.5f.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-21-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	5.2	%
Cross-Slope:	5.4	%
Crosswalk Width:	5-7	FT
Crosswalk Length:	107	LF
Line Width	4.5	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: cityhall **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: city hall back parking lot entrance off N. Irwin st.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: High St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	25	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: N. Irwin St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin St. meets Murfreesboro Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Irwin

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☑ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Cross-slope exceeds 2% max @ yield control

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-22-18 **INSPECTOR:** BF.

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.5	%
Cross-Slope:	5.9	%
Crosswalk Width:	10	FT
Crosswalk Length:	51	LF
Line Width	24	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I45 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sch

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

→ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.7	%
Cross-Slope:	2.6	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.25	FT
Crosswalk Length:	32	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.7	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.25	FT
Crosswalk Length:	51	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	3.8	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.25	FT
Crosswalk Length:	50	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	2.3	%
Cross-Slope:	2.3	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.25	FT
Crosswalk Length:	30	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Water dept. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin / Water dept drive thru

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Water dept. drive thru

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/22/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	3.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	4	FT
Crosswalk Length:	16	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I54 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Fort / Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-23-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.7	%
Cross-Slope:	4.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	52	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.1	%
Cross-Slope:	3.1	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	34	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	3.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	36	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	8.4	%
Cross-Slope:	4.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	47	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: N. Irwin / W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Irwin / W. Main

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/23/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.3	FT
Crosswalk Length:	41	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.3	FT
Crosswalk Length:	52	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	0.7	%
Cross-Slope:	5.4	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.3	FT
Crosswalk Length:	39	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.3	FT
Crosswalk Length:	46	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: only 3 ft. wide at curb ramp and is not aligned with direction of travel

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I58 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Main / Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: #2, #3, #4 No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

0.7

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1 (2)

Crosswalk Slope:	%	2.6
Cross-Slope:	%	1.2
Crosswalk Width:	FT	
Crosswalk Length:	51 LF	
Line Width	IN	
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2 (1)

Crosswalk Slope:	%	0.7
Cross-Slope:	%	
Crosswalk Width:	6 FT	
Crosswalk Length:	52 LF	
Line Width	8 IN	
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

large lip @ steps

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	5.2	%
Cross-Slope:	5.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	51	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	%	8.6
Cross-Slope:	2.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	52	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: N. Ramsey/E. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Ramsey / E. Main St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Ramsey

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	3.8	%
Crosswalk Width:	✓	FT
Crosswalk Length:	31	LF
Line Width	✓	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	6.6	%
Crosswalk Width:	✓	FT
Crosswalk Length:	31	LF
Line Width	✓	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	2.1	%
Cross-Slope:	1.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	30	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I59 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION:

No Crosswalk at #2

Crosswalk #1 is signalized

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-24-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	2.4	%
Crosswalk Width:	7	FT
Crosswalk Length:	51	LF
Line Width	10	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.8	%
Cross-Slope:	0.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	51	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

(1)

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: E. Fort St. / DREMC Drives **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: E. Fort St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk at 2 DREMC Drives

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/24/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	26	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	0.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	30	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I61 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodland

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Mclean

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☑ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	3.1	%
Crosswalk Width:	4	FT
Crosswalk Length:	139	LF
Line Width	4	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

Steps to steps
Steps have no rails

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: N. Spring

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: E. High

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☑ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	0.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	42	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.1	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	45	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	0.9	%
Crosswalk Width:	4	FT
Crosswalk Length:	45	LF
Line Width	3	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	0.9	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	52	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk @ 1, 2, 4
No curb ramp on the southeast side of intersection to cross N. Spring (needs one)

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I56 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McClean / Spring St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McClean

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: #2 has drainage basin with > ½ holes

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-25-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

↑ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	4.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.4	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	71	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	8.2	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	59	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	1.7	%
Cross-Slope:	4.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	7	FT
Crosswalk Length:	65	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

(3)

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Heritage Circle **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Heritage Circle

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Heritage Circle

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks between curb ramps
One curb ramp needs to be added

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** _____

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	50	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	32	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: High St. / Irwin St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Irwin St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: High St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk
Curb ramp will need to be installed on west side of Irwin St.

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	37	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

3 Add

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hamilton Pl/Hamilton Ct **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hamilton Ct. Volunteer Place S/D

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Doak

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/25/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	38	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk crossing Hamilton Place

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I40 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Perrigan Ln.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6	%
Cross-Slope:	1.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	51	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

North side of intersection needs a curb ramp
No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

713 Raven

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I13 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ewell

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	7.3	%
Cross-Slope:	3.1	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	51	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I2 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55 I24 Off ramp

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Off Ramp

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/29/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.3	%
Cross-Slope:	2.8	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	60	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

Cross slope max is exceeded

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I38 to I39 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodbury Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6	%
Cross-Slope:	0.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	63	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.3	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	28	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I36 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hendrixson Dr. / Woodbury Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hendrixson Dr.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-29-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.9	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	68	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No curb ramp at los 3

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Duncan St to Harmon In.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks (low traffic)

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	3.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	45	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.3	%
Cross-Slope:	7.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	55	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Woodbury Hwy **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Woodbury Hwy at Fire Hall 2

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodbury Hwy

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-30-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	41	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	0.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	114	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41 / Fort **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☑ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

→ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.9	%
Cross-Slope:	3.3	%
Crosswalk Width:	6.1	FT
Crosswalk Length:	70	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	5.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.7	%
Crosswalk Width:	9	FT
Crosswalk Length:	92	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	3.1	%
Crosswalk Width:	7.1	FT
Crosswalk Length:	81	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	~~8.4~~	%
Cross-Slope:	~~N/A~~	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

Crosswalks 1 and 2 are signalled

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41/Hwy 53 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 53

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Stin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

↑ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

COMMENTS:

No crosswalks

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I9 and I10 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53 / Woodland / Campbell

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Woodland and Campbell

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Soin

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.8	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	168	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.1	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	74	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalks

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Tri-County Roofing **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 53 / Tri-County Roofing

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Tri-County Roofing

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sim

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	0.8	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	54	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

(1)

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41/City Hall Parking and Water Dept. Exit **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41/City Hall Parking and Water Dept. Drive Through Exit

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Fort

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/30/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

→ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	1.6	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	20	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.8	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	26	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalks

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: College St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College St. / Hillsboro Blvd.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	3.1	%
Cross-Slope:	2.8	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	74	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: S. Ramsey **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41/S. Ramsey

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Ramsey St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** R. Fiscle

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	9.6	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	79	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Woodland / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Woodland St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5-31-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	6.1	%
Cross-Slope:	8.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	98	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I88 + I87 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: College

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	24	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	0.4	%
Cross-Slope:	0.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	55	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalks - Stop bars too close?

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I42 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 / Madison

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Madison

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6	%
Cross-Slope:	1.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	72	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	4.4	%
Cross-Slope:	2.8	%
Crosswalk Width:	+9	FT
Crosswalk Length:	98	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.6	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	88	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41/Ramsey **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Ramsey

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

← North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	14.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	100	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk - Not feasible to fix cross slope

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41 / Spring **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Spring

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☑ Longitudinal (2)

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: Crosswalk 1 and 3 are not striped

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	4.9	%
Cross-Slope:	3.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	103	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.3	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	9	FT
Crosswalk Length:	112	LF
Line Width	24	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	6.6	%
Cross-Slope:	1.1	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	95	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

ROSSWALK ID: I57 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: S. Irwin / Hwy 41 / W. McLean

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: S. Irwin / W. McLean

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sala

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	99	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41 / W. Main **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: W. Main

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 5/31/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.4	%
Cross-Slope:	2.07	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	15	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

3

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: High St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: High St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: N. Church and W. Fort St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/5/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No

(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



Add

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	30	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	32	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalks at either location

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I31 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Medical Park Dr.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	9.8	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	40	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

SIDEWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

SIDEWALK ID: I 30 → end of sidewalk Oak Dr. / Speedway **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Oak Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McArthur

MATERIAL: ☑ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

SIDEWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT RP-S-7 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of sidewalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark location of sidewalk.



SIDEWALK WIDTH: 5 LF

SIDEWALK LENGTH: 220 LF

SIDEWALK MAX SLOPE: 0.9 %

SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPE: 2.0 %

PROTRUDING OBJECT: N/A L/H

COMMENTS:

TYPE OF DEFECT	YES	NO
> 1/4" Lip		✓
Buckled Up		✓
Depressed Down		✓
Excessive Cracking		✓
Excessive Spalling		✓
Joint Separation (>1/2")		✓
Grates (>1/2")		✓
Drainage Problem		✓

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I30 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	19	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	39	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalks

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I33 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Kaylon St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.3	%
Cross-Slope:	2.6	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	31	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I32 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur W

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Parks

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

NSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.6	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	36	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I8 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lowry

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	3.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	33	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Bryan Ave to Willow Dr. on McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	2.8 %
Cross-Slope:	3.3 %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	65 LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.9 %
Cross-Slope:	3.0 %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	67 LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I18 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St / Oak Dr

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Oak Dr.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	3.0	%
Cross-Slope:	1.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	89	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

Concrete median island in crosswalk with no ramps

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I13 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Madison St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.2	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	97	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I 14 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Parks St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk, No Curb ramps

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	4.9	%
Cross-Slope:	3.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	43	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	72	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I 78 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthurst. / Coffee St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: signalized

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

No Crosswalk

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	7.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	42	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	4.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.3	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	72	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	5.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	72	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Walgreens driveway on McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-6-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	4.2	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	46	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Rye St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rye St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments)***

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	60	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS: No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

3

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: McArthur St. / Speedway Oak Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St. and Oak Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks at two Speedway entrances

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No

(If no, explain in comments)

↑ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	35	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	35	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Rye St. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Rye St.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	60	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

(3)

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I 31 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Medical Park Dr.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/6/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	9.8	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	40	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I25 & I24 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Shady / Royal

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Saic

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6	%
Cross-Slope:	1.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	66	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	1.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	54	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I27 & I26 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McMahan / Edgewood

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.5	%
Cross-Slope:	2.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	65	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	58	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalks

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I29 & I28 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Heritage / East End

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	63	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	0.7	%
Cross-Slope:	1.9	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	84	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalks
East End crosswalk goes thru island that has no curb ramps

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I23 [illegible] **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☑ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Lincoln [illegible]

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	3.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	68	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalk

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: New Tullahoma Dr. **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: New Tullahoma Hwy / West Kennedy / Red Rider Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☑ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



No Crosswalk

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	0.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	56	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.3	%
Crosswalk Width:	10	FT
Crosswalk Length:	68	LF
Line Width	2	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

No Crosswalk

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	2.6	%
Cross-Slope:	3.5	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	118	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

No Crosswalk

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	62	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I19 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☑ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-7-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

☑ North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.9	%
Cross-Slope:	1.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	60	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6	%
Cross-Slope:	3.5	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	61	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

(1)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: McArthur St. / Admin. Plaza **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: McArthur St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalk at either entrance of the Administrative Plaza

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/7/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	36	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	38	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55/Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

1 North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6	%
Cross-Slope:	0.3	%
Crosswalk Width:	9.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	91	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	0	%
Cross-Slope:	1.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	9.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	88	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	5.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:	9.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	90	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	1.0	%
Cross-Slope:	0.2	%
Crosswalk Width:	8.7	FT
Crosswalk Length:	102	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I3 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 55/41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: _____

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

North Arrow

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.9	%
Crosswalk Width:	9	FT
Crosswalk Length:	18	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:	9	FT
Crosswalk Length:	20	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	2.4	%
Cross-Slope:	0.9	%
Crosswalk Width:	9.5	FT
Crosswalk Length:	23	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I4 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Mchinnville Hwy

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Park Ave.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/12/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Smith

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.6	%
Cross-Slope:	3.3	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	30	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	2.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:	5.75	FT
Crosswalk Length:	45	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

Crosswalk #1 is missing

No curb ramps down to crosswalk at school

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I80 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hillsboro Blvd

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Jackson

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No crosswalks
Stop bars may be too close; maybe for sight distance

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	2.0	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	54	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	0.9	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	55	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:
No crosswalk @ US Bank entrance either side

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I 79 + I 5 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 at Rye & Raven

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.7 %
Cross-Slope:	1.6 %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	101 LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.6 %
Cross-Slope:	1.0 %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	75 LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____ %
Cross-Slope:	_____ %
Crosswalk Width:	_____ FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____ LF
Line Width	_____ IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☐ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I82 b **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Johnson St to Polk St.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalks

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0.5	%
Cross-Slope:	1.6	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	46	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	1.2	%
Cross-Slope:	1.2	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	42	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: _____ **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Winners Ln / Hwy 41 / McKellar Dr.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☑ East ☐ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: McKellar Dr.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

No Crosswalk

Crosswalk Slope:	0.5	%
Cross-Slope:	2.4	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	75	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #2

No Crosswalk

Crosswalk Slope:	0.2	%
Cross-Slope:	2.8	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	48	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / ~~Poor~~	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Clover Ln **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 / Clover Ln

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Clover Ln.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.9	%
Cross-Slope:	3.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	8	FT
Crosswalk Length:	45	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.3	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	95	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: I84 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41 / Hills Chapel Rd.

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hill Chapel Rd.

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☑ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☑ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fisher

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	1.4	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	8	FT
Crosswalk Length:	117	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.1	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	6	FT
Crosswalk Length:	89	LF
Line Width	8	IN
Visibility:	(Good) / Poor	

No Crosswalk **CROSSWALK #3**

Crosswalk Slope:	0.7	%
Cross-Slope:	2.6	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	47	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / (Poor)	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Rye St. 179 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Rye St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☑ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



No Crosswalk

CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0	%
Cross-Slope:	4.9	%
Crosswalk Width:	[illegible]	FT
Crosswalk Length:	43	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	9	FT
Crosswalk Length:	89	LF
Line Width	24	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

No Crosswalk

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	0.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:	63	LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:		%
Cross-Slope:		%
Crosswalk Width:		FT
Crosswalk Length:		LF
Line Width		IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No Curb ramps at Rye St. west side, sidewalk continues on road
No detectable domes at Rye St.

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Rye St. 179 **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Rye St. / Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 41

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☑ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☑ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☑ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☐ No (***If no, explain in comments***)

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: No Crosswalk

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6-13-18 **INSPECTOR:** B. Fiske

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No
(***If no, explain in comments***)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



No Crosswalk
CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	0	%
Cross-Slope:	4.9	%
Crosswalk Width:	[illegible]	FT
Crosswalk Length:	43	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	3.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.5	%
Crosswalk Width:	9	FT
Crosswalk Length:	89	LF
Line Width	24	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

No Crosswalk
CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	0.5	%
Cross-Slope:	0.7	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	63	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No Curb ramps at Rye St. west side, sidewalk continues on road
No detectable domes at Rye St.

CROSSWALK INSPECTION REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND CODES
200 W. Fort Street, Manchester, TN 37355

3

PROJECT TYPE: ☑ Self-Evaluation ☐ Sidewalk ☐ Utility ☐ Paving ☐ Com/Res Development

CROSSWALK ID: Hwy 41 / Sonic **CONTRACTOR:** _____

STREET NAME/QUADRANT: Hwy 41

SIDE OF STREET: ☐ North ☐ South ☐ East ☑ West

NEAREST CROSS STREET: Hwy 55

CROSSWALK TYPE: ☐ Transverse ☐ Longitudinal

MATERIAL: ☐ Paint ☐ Thermoplastic ☐ Other _____ (***Explain in comments***)

CROSSWALK STANDARD: ☐ TDOT T-M-4 ☐ Other _____

CONFORMS TO STANDARD: ☐ Yes ☑ No ***(If no, explain in comments)***

☐ Technically Infeasible ☐ Maximum extent feasible (***Explain in comments***)

DEFICIENCY DESCRIPTION: _____

NOTE: Add a comment to all aspects of crosswalk that are in non-conformance with Standards.

INSPECTION DATE: 6/13/18 **INSPECTOR:** J. Sain

RE-INSPECTION DATE: _____ **CONFORMS TO STANDARD:** ☐ Yes ☑ No
(If no, explain in comments)

Label Streets and mark/number location of crosswalk.

North Arrow



CROSSWALK #1

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	30	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #2

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	25	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #3

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

CROSSWALK #4

Crosswalk Slope:	_____	%
Cross-Slope:	_____	%
Crosswalk Width:	_____	FT
Crosswalk Length:	_____	LF
Line Width	_____	IN
Visibility:	Good / Poor	

COMMENTS:

No crosswalks at Sonic entrances

APPENDIX L

DEFICIENCY SUMMARY – CROSSWALKS

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Crosswalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Department: Health & Codes
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Phone: 931-723-1464

CW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CW090	75	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 5.9% (NF), Slope= 7.4% (NF)	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,250	General Fund
CW089	74	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$2,175	General Fund
CW024	29	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$875	General Fund
CW026	30	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF)	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$650	General Fund
CW091	77	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,825	General Fund
CW017	25	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$900	General Fund
CW018	25	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$750	General Fund
CW121	102	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,150	General Fund
CW123	104	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.6% (NF)	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,175	General Fund
CW012	14	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$2,100	General Fund
CW011	12, 13	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$4,450	General Fund
CW148	125	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,800	General Fund
CW150	126	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.5% (NF)	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$3,200	General Fund
CW067	59, 60	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$2,450	General Fund
CW001	1	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$2,175	General Fund
CW134	113	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,200	General Fund
CW135	114	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,150	General Fund
CW016	20	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,050	General Fund
CW010	12	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,275	General Fund
CW078	67	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,625	General Fund
CW077	67	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$850	General Fund
CW152	129	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,125	General Fund
CW154	130	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,125	General Fund
CW155	131	No crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,225	General Fund
CW053	46	No Crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$900	General Fund
CW054	47	No Crosswalk	1	Install crosswalk	FY '20/'21	$1,000	General Fund
CW160	138	3 ft. crosswalk width and poor line visibility	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,175	General Fund
CW157	134	Cross Slope= 5.4% (NF) and 5.5 ft. crosswalk width in middle 30 ft. portion of crosswalk	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$2,925	General Fund
CW088	73	Poor line visibility	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,375	General Fund
CW149	126	Poor line visibility	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,950	General Fund
CW161	138	3 ft. crosswalk width	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$650	General Fund
CW094	82	Poor line visibility and Cross Slope= 2.8% (NF)	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,750	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Crosswalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CW027	31	Poor line visibility and Cross Slope= 2.6% (NF)	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,050	General Fund
CW028	31	Poor line visibility	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,525	General Fund
CW029	31	Poor line visibility and Cross Slope= 3.8% (NF)	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,500	General Fund
CW030	31	Poor line visibility and Cross Slope= 2.3% (NF)	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,000	General Fund
CW153	129	4.5 ft. crosswalk width	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$875	General Fund
CW156	133	4.5 ft. crosswalk width	2	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '22/'23	$1,600	General Fund
CW008	10	No crosswalk, Cross Slope=3.1% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '29/'30	$1,525	General Fund
CW063	55	No crosswalk, Cross Slope=2.3% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '29/'30	$1,100	General Fund
CW064	56	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '29/'30	$1,025	General Fund
CW006	8	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '29/'30	$1,950	General Fund
CW004	6	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$950	General Fund
CW005	7	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,825	General Fund
CW007	9	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,525	General Fund
CW151	127	No crosswalk, Cross Slope=3.7% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,375	General Fund
CW092	78	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 5.9% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,750	General Fund
CW047	42	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,300	General Fund
CW048	42	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,375	General Fund
CW050	42	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,550	General Fund
CW051	45	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,525	General Fund
CW071	61	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 4.0% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,075	General Fund
CW070	61	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 4.4% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,175	General Fund
CW076	65	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,250	General Fund
CW075	63	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,600	General Fund
CW074	63	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,425	General Fund
CW072	62	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$925	General Fund
CW073	62	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$950	General Fund
CW163	142	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,300	General Fund
CW162	139	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,800	General Fund
CW138	118	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,500	General Fund
CW025	29	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,175	General Fund
CW093	79	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,775	General Fund
CW159	136	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,150	General Fund
CW062	54	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,025	General Fund
CW036	35	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,500	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Crosswalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CW164	144	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.4% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,125	General Fund
CW120	102	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.3% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,325	General Fund
CW124	105	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$2,675	General Fund
CW087	72	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,000	General Fund
CW097	86	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$2,125	General Fund
CW119	101	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,075	General Fund
CW013	15	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$3,100	General Fund
CW136	116	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,750	General Fund
CW140	119	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.5% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,775	General Fund
CW145	123	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,600	General Fund
CW146	124	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,950	General Fund
CW144	122	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,900	General Fund
CW143	121	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,700	General Fund
CW142	120	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.4% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,875	General Fund
CW137	117	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,825	General Fund
CW132	110	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 9.8% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,250	General Fund
CW069	60	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$2,050	General Fund
CW002	3	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 7.3% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,625	General Fund
CW035	34	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$2,725	General Fund
CW055	48	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 5.4% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,525	General Fund
CW056	48	No crosswalk, Max Slope= 8.6% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,550	General Fund
CW058	48	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '30/'31	$1,525	General Fund
CW165	145	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 12.5% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$875	General Fund
CW117	100	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 7.0% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,300	General Fund
CW099	87	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,775	General Fund
CW102	89	No crosswalk, Stop bars too close	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,625	General Fund
CW103	89, 90	No crosswalk, Stop bars too close	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,600	General Fund
CW105	91	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,300	General Fund
CW106	92	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,400	General Fund
CW110	94	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.8% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,450	General Fund
CW107	93	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.6% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,425	General Fund
CW100	88	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,825	General Fund
CW065	57	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 14.4% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,750	General Fund
CW095	85	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,000	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Crosswalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CW096	85	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$875	General Fund
CW066	58	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 9.6% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,225	General Fund
CW039	36	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.3% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,825	General Fund
CW037	35, 36	No crosswalk, Slope= 6.6% (NF), and Cross Slope= 7.7% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,625	General Fund
CW104	90	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,050	General Fund
CW022	27	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.7% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,125	General Fund
CW111	95	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.4% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,125	General Fund
CW009	11	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,725	General Fund
CW130	109	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.3% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,875	General Fund
CW115	99	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,750	General Fund
CW114	98	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 4.2% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,400	General Fund
CW147	125	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,650	General Fund
CW131	110	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.0% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,925	General Fund
CW061	54	No crosswalk, may not be needed if sidewalk in front of AT&T building is removed	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,000	General Fund
CW015	18	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,000	General Fund
CW125	106	No crosswalk, Concrete median island in crosswalk with no ramps	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,475	General Fund
CW141	119	No crosswalk, includes island with no curb ramps	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,350	General Fund
CW126	106	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$675	General Fund
CW127	106	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,225	General Fund
CW098	87	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 4.9% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,325	General Fund
CW079	68	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 2.8% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,100	General Fund
CW139	118	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,050	General Fund
CW003	4	No crosswalk, Cross Slope= 3.7% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,375	General Fund
CW014	16	No crosswalks	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$3,750	General Fund
CW060	52	No crosswalk, Slope= 6.1% (NF), and Cross Slope= 8.2% (NF)	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$2,700	General Fund
CW129	108	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,125	General Fund
CW128	107	No crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,125	General Fund
CW133	112	No Crosswalk	3	Install crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,200	General Fund
CW049	42	Poor visibility, 4 ft. width, and 3 inch line width	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,375	General Fund
CW043	41	Poor visibility, Cross Slope= 3.1% (NF)	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,100	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary - Crosswalks

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain
Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com
Department: Health & Codes
Phone: 931-723-1464

CW ID	Map No.:	Non-Conformance Details	Priority	Corrective Action	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
CW045	41	Poor visibility, Cross Slope= 4.0% (NF)	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,425	General Fund
CW044	41	Poor visibility	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,150	General Fund
CW046	41	Poor visibility, Cross Slope= 4.5% (NF)	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '31/'32	$1,550	General Fund
CW042	37	Poor visibility	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$2,025	General Fund
CW041	37	Poor visibility, Slope= 8.2% (NF)	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$1,725	General Fund
CW040	37	Poor visibility, Cross Slope= 4.2% (NF)	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$1,875	General Fund
CW052	45	Poor line visibility, Cross Slope= 2.4% (NF)	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$1,525	General Fund
CW158	135	Poor line visibility	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$1,700	General Fund
CW034	33	Crosswalk only 3 feet wide at curb ramp and ramp is not aligned with direction of travel	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$1,400	General Fund
CW033	33	Crosswalk only 3 feet wide at curb ramp and ramp is not aligned with direction of travel	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$1,225	General Fund
CW057	48	Poor line visibility and large lip at steps	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$1,550	General Fund
CW059	50, 51	Poor visibility, 4 ft. width, Cross Slope= 3.1% (NF)	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$3,725	General Fund
CW118	100	Poor visibility	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$2,050	General Fund
CW116	100	Poor visibility	4	Repair/replace crosswalk	FY '32/'33	$2,050	General Fund

APPENDIX M

DEFICIENCY SUMMARY –
MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS/FACILITIES

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
1	Approach & Entrance	Parking access aisles	City Hall	Not designated	1	Stripe access aisle	FY '20/'21	$ 100.00	General Fund
3	Approach & Entrance	Signage at accessible spaces	Water & Sewer Dept.	Not Provided	1	Provide pole-mounted signs indicating accessible parking.	FY '20/'21	$ 400.00	General Fund
7	Approach & Entrance	Parking lot	Public Works - Front Office	Inadequate access aisle.	1	Stripe parking space adjacent to van space for proper access aisle.	FY '20/'21	$ 100.00	General Fund
9	Approach & Entrance	Entrance	Public Works - Rear Shop	Step up	1	Provide sloped walk (no rails required) at 1:20 and replace threshold.	FY '20/'21	$ 500.00	General Fund
11	Approach & Entrance	Parking lot	Public Works - Animal Control	No access aisle at accessible parking.	1	Stripe access aisle	FY '20/'21	$ 100.00	General Fund
12	Approach & Entrance	Entrance	Public Works - Animal Control	Step up to landing	1	Provide sloped walk (no rails required) at 1:20.	FY '20/'21	$ 500.00	General Fund
13	Approach & Entrance	Entrance	Public Works - Animal Control	Lip at entrance	1	Level out lip	FY '20/'21	$ 100.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
14	Approach & Entrance	Crossing	Recreation Complex	Non compliant cross walks	1	Provide multiple detectable warning mats	FY '20/'21	$ 1,500.00	General Fund
15	Approach & Entrance	Parking / Accessible route	Dave King Park	Lip at accessible parking	1	Level out lip	FY '20/'21	$ 200.00	General Fund
16	Approach & Entrance	Path to park	Dave King Park	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$ 1,500.00	General Fund
17	Approach & Entrance	Path to pavilion	Dave King Park	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$ 3,900.00	General Fund
18	Approach & Entrance	Path to two pavilions	Fred Deadman Park	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$ 7,650.00	General Fund
20	Approach & Entrance	Path to outdoor exercise equipment.	Fred Deadman Park	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$ 200.00	General Fund
21	Approach & Entrance	Parking	Fred Deadman Park	Accessible parking not designated by pole sign.	1	Provide pole sign.	FY '20/'21	$ 200.00	General Fund
22	Approach & Entrance	Parking at tennis courts	Fred Deadman Park	Accessible space not adequately designated.	1	Restripe accessible space and aisle; provide proper signage.	FY '20/'21	$ 300.00	General Fund
24	Approach & Entrance	Parking	Fred Deadman Park/Miller Field	Accessible space not adequately designated.	1	Provide proper signage	FY '20/'21	$ 200.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
25	Approach & Entrance	Accessible route	Fred Deadman Park/Tee-ball Field	Lip at sidewalk	1	Provide asphalt up to sidewalk lip	FY '20/'21	$ 200.00	General Fund
26	Approach & Entrance	Parking	Fred Deadman Park / National League Field	Accessible space not adequately designated.	1	Stripe space for accessible van space and provide proper signage.	FY '20/'21	$ 300.00	General Fund
27	Approach & Entrance	Path to stands	Fred Deadman Park / National League Field	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$ 7,000.00	General Fund
28	Approach & Entrance	Parking	Fred Deadman Park / Fast Pitch field	Accessible Parking not designated.	1	Stripe accessible space and access aisle. Provide proper signage.	FY '20/'21	$ 300.00	General Fund
29	Approach & Entrance	Path to stands	Fred Deadman Park / Fast Pitch field	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk.	FY '20/'21	$ 800.00	General Fund
30	Approach & Entrance	Parking	Fred Deadman Park / Babe Ruth Field	Accessible parking not designated.	1	Stripe space and provide proper signage.	FY '20/'21	$ 300.00	General Fund
31	Approach & Entrance	Path to stands	Fred Deadman Park / Babe Ruth Field	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk.	FY '20/'21	$ 2,275.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
34	Approach & Entrance	Path to park	Soccer Park - Dog Park	Inaccessible	1	Provide sidewalk	FY '20/'21	$ 2,100.00	General Fund
39	Approach & Entrance	Accessible route - front entrance	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant curb ramp.	1	Replace curb ramp.	FY '20/'21	$ 1,200.00	General Fund
40	Approach & Entrance	Accessible route - front entrance	College Street Elementary	No curb ramp.	1	Replace large section of curb with curb ramp.	FY '20/'21	$ 6,000.00	General Fund
41	Approach & Entrance	Parking	College Street Elementary	No signs indicating accessible parking.	1	Provide signage.	FY '20/'21	$ 800.00	General Fund
42	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - front entrance	College Street Elementary	No detectable warning at drive	1	Provide detectable warning mats	FY '20/'21	$ 250.00	General Fund
43	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - front entrance	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant curb ramp.	1	Replace large section of curb with curb ramp.	FY '20/'21	$ 6,000.00	General Fund
44	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - front entrance	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant ramp	1	Replace ramp and landing.	FY '20/'21	$ 5,000.00	General Fund
45	Approach & Entrance		College Street Elementary		1	Remove sign at Orr Street	FY '20/'21	$ -	General Fund
46	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - Orr Street entrance	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant curb ramp	1	Replace curb ramp.	FY '20/'21	$ 500.00	General Fund
47	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - Orr Street entrance	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant sidewalk	1	Replace section of sidewalk.	FY '20/'21	$ 400.00	General Fund
48	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - Orr Street entrance	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant ramp	1	Replace ramp and landing.	FY '21/'22	$ 5,000.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
49	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - Hillsboro Blvd. side	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant curb ramp.	1	Replace large section of curb with curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 6,000.00	General Fund
50	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - Hillsboro Blvd. side	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant curb ramp.	1	Replace large section of curb with curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 6,000.00	General Fund
52	Approach & Entrance	Accessible path - Hwy. 55 side	College Street Elementary	Noncompliant	1	Remove & replace ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 5,000.00	General Fund
55	Approach & Entrance	Building approach at original building	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant curb	1	Replace entire curb with compliant curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 6,000.00	General Fund
56	Approach & Entrance	Building approach at original building	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant ramp	1	Replace ramp and landing.	FY '21/'22	$ 5,000.00	General Fund
57	Approach & Entrance	Building approach at original building	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant ramp	1	Replace ramp and landing.	FY '21/'22	$ 5,000.00	General Fund
58	Approach & Entrance	Sidewalk at original building	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant section of sidewalk.	1	Remove and replace section of sidewalk.	FY '21/'22	$ 200.00	General Fund
59	Approach & Entrance	Sidewalk at original building	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant exit from special needs classroom.	1	Provide sidewalk, ramp and landing.	FY '21/'22	$ 2,500.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
61	Approach & Entrance	curb	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Provide curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 1,200.00	General Fund
62	Approach & Entrance	curb	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Replace entire curb with compliant curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 6,000.00	General Fund
63	Approach & Entrance	curb	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Provide curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 1,200.00	General Fund
64	Approach & Entrance	curb	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Provide curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 1,200.00	General Fund
65	Approach & Entrance	curb	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Provide curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 1,200.00	General Fund
66	Approach & Entrance	parking	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Van accessible access aisle too small	1	Restripe to mark van accessible space.	FY '21/'22	$ 100.00	General Fund
67	Approach & Entrance	curb	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Replace entire curb with compliant curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 6,000.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
68	Approach & Entrance	Detectable warning	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	No detectable warning at drive	1	Provide detectable warning mats at each side of drive.	FY '21/'22	$ 500.00	General Fund
69	Approach & Entrance	ramp	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Remove & replace ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 5,000.00	General Fund
70	Approach & Entrance	curb	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	1	Provide curb ramp.	FY '21/'22	$ 1,200.00	General Fund
80	Approach & Entrance	Crosswalk	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No detectable warning at drive	1	Provide detectable warning pad at drive.	FY '21/'22	$ 250.00	General Fund
81	Approach & Entrance	Approach to building	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	Noncompliant ramp	1	Remove and replace ramp and landing.	FY '21/'22	$ 6,000.00	General Fund
82	Approach & Entrance	Approach to building	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	Nonaccessible path	1	Build compliant ramp over existing steps & remove non compliant section of sidewalk	FY '21/'22	$ 6,000.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
83	Approach & Entrance	Crosswalk	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No detectable warning at drive	1	Provide detectable warning pad at both sides of drive.	FY '22/'23	$ 500.00	General Fund
84	Approach & Entrance	Parking	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	Unpaved parking with no sidewalk	1	Pave and stripe section of parking lot for accessible parking; provide sidewalk.	FY '21/'22	$ 4,750.00	General Fund
85	Approach & Entrance	Path	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	Lip between surfaces	1	Level out lip	FY '22/'23	$ 100.00	General Fund
86	Approach & Entrance	Path to visitors' side at track/stadium	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	Inaccessible/unpaved	1	Provide sidewalk	FY '22/'23	$ 1,625.00	General Fund
2	Access to Goods & Services		City Hall	Misc. height differentials.	2	Provide portable ramp.	FY '22/'23	$ 250.00	General Fund
19	Access to Goods & Services	Table layout in pavilions	Fred Deadman Park	Inaccessible	2	Reconfigure	FY '22/'23	$ -	General Fund
33	Access to Goods & Services	Kitchen Supply closet	Soccer Park - Boyer House	Too narrow	2	Replace door	FY '22/'23	$ 500.00	General Fund
51	Access to Goods & Services	Path to pavilion	College Street Elementary	Nonaccessible	2	Provide sidewalk.	FY '22/'23	$ 6,175.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
53	Access to Goods & Services	Path to playground - Hwy. 55 side	College Street Elementary	Nonaccessible	2	Provide sidewalk.	FY '22/'23	$ 1,625.00	General Fund
60	Access to Goods & Services	path to playground	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Nonaccessible	2	Provide sidewalk to playground	FY '22/'23	$ 1,950.00	General Fund
71	Access to Goods & Services	Path to playground	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Nonaccessible	2	Provide sidewalk to playground	FY '22/'23	$ 6,825.00	General Fund
72	Access to Goods & Services	Corridor	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No railings at existing ramp	2	Provide railings on both sides of ramp	FY '22/'23	$ 700.00	General Fund
73	Access to Goods & Services	Corridor	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No railings at existing ramp	2	Provide railings on both sides of ramp	FY '22/'23	$ 1,050.00	General Fund
74	Access to Goods & Services	Corridor	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No railings at existing ramp	2	Provide railings on both sides of ramp	FY '22/'23	$ 560.00	General Fund
75	Access to Goods & Services	Corridor	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No railings at existing ramp	2	Provide railing at ramp	FY '22/'23	$ 210.00	General Fund
76	Access to Goods & Services	Corridor	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No railings at existing ramp	2	Provide railings on both sides of ramp	FY '22/'23	$ 1,680.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
77	Access to Goods & Services	Corridor	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No railings at existing ramp	2	Provide railing at ramp	FY '22/'23	$ 840.00	General Fund
78	Access to Goods & Services	Corridor	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	No railings at existing ramp	2	Provide railings on both sides of ramp	FY '22/'23	$ 2,100.00	General Fund
79	Access to Goods & Services	Softball field	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	Nonaccessible path & viewing area.	2	Provide sidewalk and paved viewing area	FY '22/'23	$ 9,425.00	General Fund
4	Toilet Rooms	Toilet rooms	Water & Sewer Dept.	Non Compliant	3	Convert one toilet room into compliant unisex toilet room. Provide directional signage at other noncompliant toilet rooms.	FY '30/'31	$ 7,500.00	General Fund
5	Toilet Rooms	Toilet rooms	Firehall #1	Non Compliant	3	Convert one toilet room into compliant unisex toilet room. Provide directional signage at other noncompliant toilet rooms.	FY '30/'31	$ 7,500.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
6	Toilet Rooms	Toilet rooms	Firehall #2	Non Compliant	3	Reconfigure one existing toilet room into compliant toilet room.	FY '30/'31	$ 7,500.00	General Fund
8	Toilet Rooms	Toilet Rooms	Public Works - Front Office	Non Compliant	3	Reconfigure one existing toilet room into compliant toilet room. Provide directional signage at other noncompliant toilet rooms.	FY '30/'31	$ 7,500.00	General Fund
10	Toilet Rooms	Toilet rooms	Public Works - Rear Shop	No grab bars or pipe covers.	3	Provide grab bars and pipe covers.	FY '29/'30	$ 250.00	General Fund
23	Toilet Rooms	Toilet stalls	Fred Deadman Park/Ada Wright Building	Stalls to narrow	3	Renovate toilet rooms.	FY '30/'31	$ 7,500.00	General Fund
32	Toilet Rooms	Grab bars, lav	Soccer Park - Boyer House	Noncompliant	3	Provide grab bars, compliant lav, and pipe covers.	FY '29/'30	$ 1,000.00	General Fund
35	Toilet Rooms	Doors to 2 toilet rooms	College Street Elementary School (CSES)	Too narrow	3	Provide new entrances	FY '29/'30	$ 3,000.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
36	Toilet Rooms	Boys' Room (4th-5th grade wing)	College Street Elementary	No Accessible Stall	3	Remove urinal to reconfigure stalls; provide new stalls and accessible toilet.	FY '30/'31	$ 3,500.00	General Fund
37	Toilet Rooms	Girls' Room (4th-5th grade wing)	College Street Elementary	No Accessible Stall	3	Remove toilet to reconfigure stalls; provide new stalls and accessible toilet.	FY '30/'31	$ 3,500.00	General Fund
38	Toilet Rooms	Boys' and Girls' Rooms (Resource Room wing)	College Street Elementary	No Accessible Stall	3	Remove shower stall and reconfigure toilet room to include accessible stall. Alternate: new unisex accessible single user toilet room w/ accessible shower.	FY '30/'31	$ 12,000.00	General Fund
54	Toilet Rooms	Accessible stalls	Westwood Elementary School (WES)	Noncompliant	3	Change stall door hardware to permit outswing door.	FY '29/'30	$ 800.00	General Fund

ADA Transition Plan and Self-Evaluation
Deficiency Summary – Municipal Buildings/Facilities

Responsible Person: Mr. Jamie Sain Department: Health & Codes

Email: jsain@cityofmanchestertn.com Phone: 931-723-1464

Item / Map #	Accessibility Category	Non-compliant Feature	Location	Reason for noncompliance	Priority	Solution	Completion Target Date	Est. Repair Cost	Source of Funding
87	Toilet rooms	lavs at stadium toilet rooms	Westwood Middle School (WMS)	Exposed piping	3	Provide pipe covers	FY '29/'30	$ 120.00	General Fund

APPENDIX N

DEFICIENCY MAPPING



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:1

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:2

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:3

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:4

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:5

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:6

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:7

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:8

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:9

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:10

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:11

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:12

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:13

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:14

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:15

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:16

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:17

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:18

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:19

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:20

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:21

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:22

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:23

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:24

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:25

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:26

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:27

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:28

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:29

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:30

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:31

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:32

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:33

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:34

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:35

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:36

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:37

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:38

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:39

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:40

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:41

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:42

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:43

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:44

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:45

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:46

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:47

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:48

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:49

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:50

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:51

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:52

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:53

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:54

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:55

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:56

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:57

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:58

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:59

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:60

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:61

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:62

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:63

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:64

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:65

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:66

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:67

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:68

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:69

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:70

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:71

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:72

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:73

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:74

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:75

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:76

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:77

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:78

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:79

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:80

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:81

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:82

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:83

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:84

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:85

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:86

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:87

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:88

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:89

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:90

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:91

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:92

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:93

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:94

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:95

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:96

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:97

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:98

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:99

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:100

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:101

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:102

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:103

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:104

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:105

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:106

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:107

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:108

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:109

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:110

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:111

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:112

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:113

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:114

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:115

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:116

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:117

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:118

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:119

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:120

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:121

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:122

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:123

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:124

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:125

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:126

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:127

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:128

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:129

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:130

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:131

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:132

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:133

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:134

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:135

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:136

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:137

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:138

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:139

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:140

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:141

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:142

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:143

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

- Crosswalk
- Curb Ramp
- Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:144

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Crosswalk

Curb Ramp

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP:145

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_CR_CW.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 146

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 147

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 148

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 149

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 150

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 151

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146 Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 152

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 153

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 154

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 155

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 156

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 157

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 158

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 159

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 160

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 161

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 162

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 163

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 164

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 165

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 166

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 167

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 168

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146

102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 169

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 170

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd



CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA TRANSITION PLAN

Sidewalks to Repair

Intersection

APPENDIX N - DEFICIENCY MAPPING

MAP: 171

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 12/2/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102-146_Manch_ADA_Transition_Sidewalks.mxd

MANCHESTER
ADA TRANSITION PLAN

N

• City Building

0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 Miles

Manchester Recreation Complex
Fred Deadman Park
Dave King Park
Manchester City Hall
Manchester Water & Sewer Department
Manchester Soccer Park
College Street Elementary School
Manchester Fire Department
Westwood Middle School
Westwood Elementary School
Manchester Public Works Department

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

CREATED: 11/5/2019
By: K.Pruitt

Project: 102-146
102146TransPlan.mxd

APPENDIX O

MASTER PLANNING MAPS



20-Year Facilities Plan for Pedestrians and Bicyclists

#	Project	From / To	Improvement	Miles	Est. Cost
First Two Years (FY 17-19)					
1	TDOT SRTS Oakdale Street Phase 2	Cherry St to Short St	5' Sidewalk	0.1	$75,000
2	TDOT Bike Lanes & Curb Ramps on US Hwy 41	Hendrixson Dr to SR 55	Bike Lanes/ Curb Ramps	3.3	$250,000
Total First Two Years					$325,000
Following Three Years (FY 19-22)					
3	ADA Transition Plan - Sidewalk Repairs (City Wide)	Various Locations	Sidewalk	---	$400,000
4	TDOT TAP Little Duck Greenway	Riverview Trailhead to Bonnaroo	12' Multi-Modal	2.0	$1,200,000
5	TDOT US Hwy 41 - Sidewalks	SR 55 - Old Stone Fort Service Rd to Asbury Rd City Limits	5' Sidewalk Bike Lanes	2.9 0.32	$1,300,000
6	Oakdale St Sidewalk Extensions North & South Ends	Elm St to Main St	5' Sidewalks	1.1	$750,000
Total Following Three Years					$3,650,000
Following Five Years (FY 22-27)					
7	ADA Transition Plan – Sidewalk Repairs City Wide	Various Locations	Sidewalk	---	$450,000
8	Woodrow St Sidewalk	Taylor St to Moore St	5' Sidewalk	0.5	$225,000
9	Hills Chapel Rd Sidewalk	US Hwy 41 to Forrestwood Dr	5' Sidewalk	1.6	$900,000
10	Spring St	US Hwy 41 to Kennedy Dr	5' Sidewalk/ Bike Lanes	2.3	$1,200,000
Total Following Five Years					$2,775,000
Last Ten Years (FY 27-37)					
11	Oak Dr Sidewalk	Spring St to Hills Chapel Rd	5' Sidewalk/ Bike Lanes	0.6	$400,000
12	Clover Ln Sidewalk	Hills Chapel Rd to US Hwy 41	5' Sidewalk/ Bike Lanes	0.2	$135,000
13	TDOT TAP Downtown Square Sidewalk Phase 3	Side Streets	12' Multi-Modal	1.3	$1,750,000
Total Last Ten Years					$2,285,000

Capital Projects Plan for Pedestrian & Bicyclist Facilities
Manchester Transportation Master Plan
2018

Figure 4-1

0 0.5 1 2 Miles

St. John ENGINEERING, LLC
ENGINEERING • PLANNING • ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING
923 JACKSON STREET
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
PHONE: (931) 728-2838 – FAX: (931) 728-6397
WWW.ST.JOHNENGINEERING.COM

Bonnaroo

0 0.75 1.5 3 Miles

N W E S

Greenway Master Plan

City of Manchester
Manchester Parks & Recreation Department
May 2018

- Trailheads
- Sidewalk Existing
- Sidewalk Proposed
- Greenway Existing
- Greenway Proposed
- Bike Lane Proposed

ST. JOHN ENGINEERING, LLC
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
P: 931-728-2638

PRINTED: 8/19/2019
By: K.Pruitt

MANCHESTER_GREENWAY.mxd

Document Path: Z:_GIS_DATA\COFFEE\RECREATION\Manchester\MANCHESTER_GREENWAY.mxd

Major Thoroughfare Plan
Manchester Transportation Master Plan
2018

Figure 4-2

- Manchester City Limits
- I-24
- Urban Principal Arterial (150' - 184' ROW)
- Urban Minor Arterial (60' - 84' ROW)
- Proposed Urban Minor Arterial (60' - 84' ROW)
- Urban Minor Collector (60' ROW)
- Proposed Urban Minor Collector (60' ROW)

0 0.5 1 2 3 Miles

N W E S

St. John ENGINEERING, LLC
ENGINEERING • PLANNING • ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING
923 JACKSON STREET
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
PHONE: (931) 728-2638 • FAX: (931) 728-8367
WWW.STJOHNENGINEERING.COM

Document Path: Z:_GIS_DATA\COFFEE\TRANSPORTATION\MANCHESTER\MTP_2018MTP2018_MajorThouroughfarePlan.mxd

N

W E

S



MANCHESTER CITY INSET

0.1 0.0 0.1 0.2

Miles



LEGEND

- INTERSTATE HIGHWAY
- U.S. NUMBERED HIGHWAY
- STATE HIGHWAY
- FEDERAL AID URBAN BOUNDARY
- INTERSTATE
- OTHER FREEWAYS AND EXPRESSWAYS
- PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL
- MINOR ARTERIAL
- MAJOR COLLECTOR
- MINOR COLLECTOR
- COUNTY LINE
- WIDE STREAM
- NHS — NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM

TN TDOT Department of Transportation

MANCHESTER

TENNESSEE

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

PREPARED BY THE

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
LONG RANGE PLANNING DIVISION

IN COOPERATION WITH THE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

0.3 0.0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2

Miles

2018

Figure 2-3

Urban Interstate, Existing (150' R.O.W.)

Urban Principal Arterial, Existing (84'-150' R.O.W.)

Urban Minor Arterial, Existing (60'-84' R.O.W.)

Urban Minor Arterial, Proposed (60'-84' R.O.W.)

Urban Collector, Existing (60' R.O.W.)

Urban Collector, Proposed (60' R.O.W.)

Minor Street (50' R.O.W.)

COFFEE COUNTY, TENNESSEE

MAJOR THOROUGHFARE PLAN

APRIL 17, 2008

Approval herein certifies that this map represents the official transportation plan for Coffee County as adopted by the Planning Commision on this date.

Planning Commission Chairman _____ Date _____

0 1 2 4 6 8 Miles

N W E S

St. John ENGINEERING, LLC
ENGINEERING • PLANNING • ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING
923 JACKSON STREET
MANCHESTER, TN 37355
PHONE: (931) 728-2838 ~ FAX: (931) 728-6357
WWW.STJOHNENGINEERING.COM

Figure 4-3. Bicycle Corridor Plan – Manchester



Figure 4-4. Pedestrian Corridor Plan – Manchester



APPENDIX P

ADA POLICY AND ADA COMMITTEE

CHAPTER 3

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE

SECTION

4-301. Complaints.
4-302. Resolution of complaint.
4-303. Appeal.
4-304. Appeal to board of mayor and alderman.
4-305. ADA compliance committee.

4-301. Complaints. All complaints regarding access or alleged discrimination under the Americans with Disabilities Act against the City of Manchester shall be filed in writing with the ADA Coordinator of the City of Manchester, Tennessee, for resolution. The complaints shall be filed on a form designated by the ADA Coordinator, and a record of the complaint shall be maintained. (1972 Code, § 1-1201)

4-302. Resolution of complaint. A decision shall be made on the complaint by the ADA Coordinator within fifteen (15) working days after a complaint has been filed in writing with the coordinator. The decision shall be in writing, and mailed to the party complaining, certified mail, return receipt requested at the address shown on the complaint. (1972 Code, § 1-1202)

4-303. Appeal. If the complaint is not resolved to the satisfaction of the complainant by the ADA Coordinator, it may be appealed to the ADA Compliance Committee on written notice to the ADA Coordinator. That appeal must be filed within ten(10) days after the date of mailing of the decision of the ADA Coordinator to the complainant and the ADA Compliance Committee shall hear such complaints in public, after adequate public notice is given in an unbiased objective manner and shall issue a written decision within thirty (30) days after the hearing. All proceedings of the committee shall be recorded, transcripted and maintained by the committee. (1972 Code, § 1-1203)

4-304. Appeal to board of mayor and aldermen. If the complaint cannot be resolved to the complainant's satisfaction by the ADA Compliance Committee, the complaint will be heard by the board of mayor and aldermen at an open, public meeting of the board, preceding the vote. The decision of the ADA Compliance Committee must be appealed to the board of mayor and aldermen within ten (10) days after the written decision of the committee. The decision of the board of mayor and aldermen is final. (1972 Code, § 1-1204)

4-305. ADA compliance committee. The ADA Compliance Committee of the City of Manchester, Tennessee is hereby created. It shall have the duties and powers described in this chapter, and shall also be charged to establish ground rules or procedures for hearing complaints, requests or suggestions from

disabled persons regarding access to and participation in public facilities, services, activities and functions in the City of Manchester, Tennessee. It shall be composed of five (5) persons appointed by the board of mayor and aldermen and their term of office shall be for five (5) years, or until their successors are appointed and qualified with one member being appointed annually, on the first Tuesday in September of each year. The committee shall be composed of an elected official, whose initial term shall expire in September, 1992, a member of the disabled community, whose initial term shall expire in September, 1993, a business person, whose initial term shall expire in September, 1994, a teacher, whose initial term shall expire in September, 1995, and a member of the health/medical profession, whose initial term shall expire in September, 1996. The members of the ADA Compliance Committee shall serve without compensation. (1972 Code, § 1-1205)

Lonnie J. Norman
Mayor

CITY OF
MANCHESTER

200 West Fort Street
Manchester, TN 37355

ADA Compliance Committee

Updated October 2019

The ADA Committee of the City of Manchester meets on an "as needed" basis. Listed below are the current Committee Members:

ADA COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE	BOMA APPT-5 yr term
Teresa McFadden - 4th term	Expires September, 2022
Edward Knott - 2nd term	Expires September, 2022
Cindy Weber - 3rd term	Expires September, 2022
Jay Trussler - 3rd term	Expires September, 2022
Deidra Goins - 1st term	Expires September, 2022

ADA COMPLIANCE COORDINATOR	BOMA APPT.
Jamie Sain - Codes Director	Expires September, 2022

APPENDIX Q

PUBLIC MEETING SIGN-IN LIST AND COMMENTS

CITY OF MANCHESTER

ADA SELF-EVALUATION AND TRANSITION PLAN PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Location:	Manchester City Hall
	200 W. Fort St.
	Manchester, TN 37355
Meeting Date:	October 30, 2019
Meeting Time:	1:00 p.m.

Business:

Codes Director Jamie Sain called the meeting to order at 1:05 p.m. Jamie Sain explained why the city was completing the self-evaluation and transition plan and that adjacent cities and counties were having to complete the same process. He stated the city facilities including buildings, parks, greenways, sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, etc. were evaluated for ADA compliance by city staff. The self-evaluation was completed by staff of the Manchester Codes Department, Manchester Public Works Department, and St. John Engineering, LLC. Once deficiencies were found and prioritized based on location and the severity of the deficiency, a transition plan was created stating when and how the deficiency would be corrected. The city website and all policies and procedures were evaluated for ADA compliance during the self-evaluation as well. The City of Manchester has decided to create a 15-year transition plan to get all facilities into ADA compliance. The city plans to budget approximately $200,000 per year to complete the transition plan.

Mr. Sain then opened the meeting up to any questions or concerns from the public. Mr. Maurice Pittman asked if the plan included requiring existing businesses open to the public to meet ADA requirements and what ADA Code is currently adopted. Mr. Sain said that the International Building Code adopted by the city and referenced in the ADA Transition Plan only requires ADA compliance when a new building is built and when an extensive remodel is done in an existing business.

After much discussion, it was unanimously decided that no changes needed to be made to the proposed ADA Transition Plan.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 p.m.

City of Manchester
Public Meeting
ADA Self-Evaluation/Transition Plan
Manchester City Hall, 200 W. Fort Street
Manchester, Tennessee 37355
October 30, 2019 1:00 pm

SIGNATURE	Print Your Name	Representing	E-mail
Britt Fiske	Brittany Fiske	Citizen of Manchester	—
Maurice Pittman	Maurice Pittman	Lions Club	mrpittmn@gmail.com
George Gannon	George Gannon	City of Manchester	
Grace Frazier	Grace Frazier	City of Manchester	
Jamie Sain	Jamie Sain	City of Manchester	
Troy Clark	Troy Clark	Citizen of manchester	—

Join Us for a Discussion of the Draft ADA Transition Plan

CITY OF MANCHESTER

What

The City of Manchester has developed a draft Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan. The Transition Plan will include an ADA compliance review and transition plan of the City programs, services, activities, public buildings, parks and pedestrian facilities within the public rights-of-way. Those interested persons, including individuals with disabilities or organizations representing individuals, are requested to participate in the development of Manchester's ADA Transition Plan.

When & Where

Public Workshop

Wednesday, October 30, 2019

1 p.m.

Manchester City Hall

200 W. Fort Street

Manchester TN 37355

ADA Accessibility for persons with disabilities requiring special assistance, Please contact Jamie Sain at (931) 723-1464

The workshop will be an open discussion. Attendees will be able to provide direct comments and recommendations for the plan during the meeting.

www.manchestertimes.com

CLASSIFIEDS

393-5505

Outside Coffee County call 1-888-836-6237

A Free Call!

The #1 Source to Buy, Sell or Trade! Call 931-393-5505 Toll Free 1-888-836-6237

CLASSIFIEDS

750 Aircraft
135 Announcements
780 Antique Vehicles
470 Antiques
210 Apartments For Rent
420 Appliances
745 ATVs
150 Auctions
750 Auto Parts & Accessories
693 Auto Repair
710 Autos for Sale
570 Bicycles
355 Boats & Marine Equipment
430 Building Materials
488 Building & Remodeling
610 Business Opportunity
250 Business Property For Rent
290 Business Property For Sale
340 Camping Equipment
110 Cars of Thanks
805 Clothing
160 Cemeteries & Lots
585 Child & Elderly Care
681 Clerical
440 Clothing
731 Commercial Vehicles
495 Computers
225 Condos for Rent
283 Condos for Sale
587 Convalescent Care
590 Domestic
810 Draperies
825 Electrical
545 Electronics
680 Employment
455 Exercise Equipment
450 Farm Equipment
380 Farms & Farmland
460 Feed, Seed, Plants
140 Financial
470 Food
686 Food Service
488 Fuel
510 Furniture
490 Garage & Rummage Sales
682 General Jobs
820 General Services
800 Guttering
445 Health & Beauty
580 Heating & Air Conditioning
360 Hobbies & Toys
220 Houses For Rent
280 Houses For Sale
120 In Memory
635 Income Tax Services
630 Insulation
295 Investment Properties
575 Jewelry
620 Jobs Wanted
640 Lawn Care
648 Lawn & Garden Equipment
520 Livestock
845 Locksmith
265 Log Homes
170 Lost & Found
305 Lots & Acreage
560 Machinery & Tools
680 Medical
447 Medical Equipment
530 Miscellaneous For Sale
122 Mobile Homes For Rent
190 Mobile Homes For Sale
134 Mobile Home Sites
743 Motorcycles
543 Musical Merchandise
621 Musicians Bands
480 Office Equipment
650 Painting
125 Personals
554 Pets & Supplies
855 Photography
690 Plumbing
684 Professional
139 Public Notices
375 Real Estate Wanted
358 Recreational Vehicles
200 Rentals
860 Roofing
230 Rooms For Rent
605 Sales
630 School & Instruction
865 Sewing & Alterations
365 Sports Equipment
733 Sport Utility Vehicles
900 Statewides
160 Swap & Trade
375 Swimming Pools
686 Teaching
533 Tickets
780 Transportation
535 Travel
607 Trucking
730 Trucks & Vans
670 Upholstery
735 Utility Trailers
573 Wanted To Buy
720 Wanted To Buy-Autos
270 Wanted To Rent

105 ANNOUNCEMENTS

All residential real estate advertised herein is subject to the Federal Fair Housing Act and Tennessee Human Rights Act which make it illegal to advertise any preference, limitation or discrimination.

We will not knowingly accept any advertising for real estate which is in violation of the law. Our readers are hereby informed that all dwellings advertised are available on an equal opportunity basis.

CLASSIFIED ERROR RESPONSIBILITY

We take great care to avoid inadvertent error in our classified ads. However, in the event of an error, we are responsible only for the first incorrect insertion. We do not assume any responsibility for any reason for errors or omissions in the ad. Management reserves the right to reject, revise & accept or classify all advertising. All ads are subject to editing by the publication & we reserve the right to revise or reject any ad.

For Your Convenience We Accept

VISA MasterCard DISCOVER

for ALL PURCHASES including: Classified, Advertising, Book Sales Printing & Subscriptions.

ABANDON VEHICLE NOTICE

1998 Ford F150 VIN#1FTRX18L3WNA72202 2001 VOLVO S80 VIN#YV1TS59H411 2006 CHEVY CAVALIER VIN#1G1JC52F467745 56 2008 CHEVY CRUZE VIN#1G1PG5S98B7 2007 FONTIAC G6 VIN#1G2ZA5EK4X4 will be sold, destroyed or otherwise disposed of pursuant to law on October 23, 2019 at Manchester Towing 115 Big Oak Court Manchester, TN 37349 931-247-8688

125 PERSONALS

130 PUBLIC NOTICES

IN THE JUVENILE COURT FOR RUTHERFORD COUNTY, TENNESSEE AT MURFREESBORO

STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES, PETITIONER

CASE NO. TC-3593

IN THE MATTER OF:
Andrew Hickman; DOB: 06-30-2004 A Child under 18 years of age.

NOTICE TO Mr. Richard Hickman, Sr.

The State of Tennessee Department of Children's Services has filed a Petition alleging that Mr. Richard Hickman Sr committed acts or failed to take actions that caused his Child Andrew Hickman DOB 06-30-2004 to be Dependent and Neglected as defined by T.C.A.§ 37-1-102 (b)(13) etc., and that disposition of the Child should be with a 3rd party and/or in State Custody. It appears that ordinary process of law cannot be served upon you because your whereabouts are unknown or you are avoiding service of process. You are hereby ORDERED to appear in the Juvenile Court of Rutherford County, 1710 South Church Street, Murfreesboro, Tennessee at **9:00 a.m. on December 10, 2019 before Magistrate Dodd** to personally answer the Petition for Dependency and Neglect. Failing to appear for the hearing on this date and time without good cause pursuant to the Rules of Juvenile Procedure and the Rules of Civil Procedure will result in the loss of your right to contest the Petition for Dependency and Neglect as to the Child Andrew Hickman. You may view and obtain a copy of the State's Petition, and any other subsequently legal filings at the Juvenile Court Clerk's Office, Rutherford County, Tennessee.

If it is further ORDERED that if Mr. Richard Hickman, Sr does not enter an appearance or otherwise answer the State's Petition or any other pending petitions that further personal service or service by further publication shall be dispensed with and service of any future notices, motions, orders or other legal documents in this matter may be made upon the Respondents by filing same with the Juvenile Court Clerk of Rutherford County, Tennessee

Entered the 1st day of October, 2019.

/s/ Magistrate Adam Dodd
HONORABLE Magistrate Adam Dodd

APPROVED FOR ENTRY

/s/ Matthew F. Wright
Matthew F. Wright, BPR# 021773
Assistant General Counsel for DCS
434 Jayhawk Court
Murfreesboro, TN 37128
615-217-8834

NOTICE OF SUBSTITUTE TRUSTEE S SALE

WHEREAS, default has occurred in the performance of the covenants, terms and conditions of a Deed of Trust dated February 19, 2007, executed by JB HILL KYRA HILL, conveying certain real property therein described to METROPOLITAN ESQUITY GROW, INC., as Trustee as same appears of record in the Register's Office of Coffee County, Tennessee recorded February 28, 2007 in Deed Book T714 Page 597-616 at Instrument Number 07000742; and WHEREAS, the beneficial interest of said Deed of Trust was last transferred and assigned to Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB not in its individual capacity but solely as trustee for BCAT 2017-19TT who is now the owner of said debt and WHEREAS, the undersigned Rubin Lublin TN, PLLC having been appointed as Substitute Trustee by instrument to be filed for record in the Register's Office of Coffee County, Tennessee. NOW, THEREFORE notice is hereby given that the entire indebtedness has been declared due and payable and that the undersigned Rubin Lublin TN, PLLC as Substitute Trustee or his duly appointed agent by virtue of the power, duty and authority vested and imposed upon said Substitute Trustee will on **November 4, 2019 at 10:00 AM** at the Coffee County Justice Center 300 Hillsboro Boulevard, Manchester, TN proceed to sell at public outcry to the highest and best bidder for cash or certified funds ONLY, the following described property situated in Coffee County, Tennessee to wit:A CERTAIN TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE FIFTH CIVIL DISTRICT OF COFFEE COUNTY, TENNESSEE AND BEING MORE FULLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT BEING ALL OF LOTS NO. 20 & 21 AND PART OF LOT NO. 22, A.M. MAY SUBDIVISION, AS SHOWN BY PLAT AND SURVEY OF RECORD IN TRUST BOOK 55, PAGE 109, OF THE REGISTER'S OFFICE OF COFFEE COUNTY, TENNESSEE TO WHICH PLAT REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE FOR A MORE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE DESCRIPTION OF SAID LOT.Parcel ID 119.19.00PROPERTY ADDRESS: The street address of the property is believed to be **3730 OLD MANCHESTER HWY TULLAHOMA, TN 37388.** In the event of any discrepancy between this street address and the legal description of the property, the legal description shall control. CURRENT OWNER(S): JB HILL, KYRA HILLOTHER INTERESTED PARTIES: The sale of the above-described property shall be subject to all matters shown on any recorded plat; any unpaid taxes; any restrictive covenants, easements or set-back lines that may be applicable; any prior liens or encumbrances as well as any priority created by a fixture filing; and to any matter that an accurate survey of the premises might disclose.

This property is being sold with the express reservation that it is subject to confirmation by the lender or Substitute Trustee. This sale may be rescinded at any time. The right is reserved to adjourn the day of the sale to another day, time and place certain without further publication upon announcement at the time and place for the sale set forth above. All right and equity of redemption, statutory or otherwise, homestead and dower are expressly waived in said Deed of Trust, and the title is believed to be good, but the undersigned will sell and convey only as Substitute Trustee. The Property is sold as is, where is, without representations or warranties of any kind including fitness for a particular use or purpose.THIS LAW FIRM IS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.Rubin Lublin TN, PLLC Substitute Trustee119 S. Main Street Suite 500Memphis, TN 38103rlselaw.com property-listingTel (877) 813-0992Fax (404) 601-5846 Ad # 1 6 3 6 0 1 1 0 0 9 2 0 1 9, 1 0 1 6 2 0 1 9 10/23/2019

SUBSTITUTE TRUSTEE'S SALE

Sale at public auction will be on **November 7, 2019 on or about 10:00AM** local time. At the County Courthouse Square Cannon County Courthouse, 200 West Main Street, Woodbury, TN 37190 conducted by the Substitute Trustee as identified and set forth herein below pursuant to Deed of Trust executed by AMANDA HORTON to Greg Smithers, Trustee on June 30, 2016 at Record Book T953 Page 437-450 as Instrument No 16004649 in the real property records of Coffee County Register's Office, Tennessee.

Owner of Deed: BOKF N.A.

The following real estate located in Coffee County, Tennessee will be sold to the highest cash bidder subject to all unpaid taxes, prior liens and encumbrances of record:

Being in the City of Manchester, and being the west half of Lots Numbers Twenty-Two (22), Twenty-Three (23), Twenty-Four (24), and Twenty-Five (25) in Block "E" of the Scherrer Addition to the Town of Manchester, according to a plat thereof recorded in Trust Deed Book 31, Page 483, Register's Office of Coffee County, Tennessee. And being the same property conveyed to Amanda Horton by Warranty Deed from George Walls, Jr. and wife, Darlene Walls, dated June 30, 2016, of record in Warranty Deed Book W373, Page 969, Register's Office of Coffee County, Tennessee. This conveyance is subject to any and all recorded and unrecorded, zoning regulations, building restrictions and setback line, easements and rights of way for public utilities; including but not limited to any and all matters on subdivision plat for Block "E" of the Scherrer Addition to the Town of Manchester of record in Plat Cabinet Envelope Trust Deed Book 31, Page 483, and in re-division of record in Plat Cabinet 364B: of record in Register's Office of Coffee County, Tennessee.

Tax ID: 076I G 02300.000

Current Owner(s) of Property: AMANDA HORTON

The street address of the above described property is believed to be 719 Mill St. Manchester, TN 37355 but such address is not part of the legal description of the property sold herein and in the event of any discrepancy the legal description referenced herein shall control.

SALE IS SUBJECT TO OCCUPANT(S) RIGHTS IN POSSESSION.

POLICE OFFICER

The City of Tullahoma is accepting applications for the position of Police Officer. Responsibilities include enforcing City ordinances and State laws, patrolling city limits and traffic enforcement. Applicants must be at least 21 years old, have a HS diploma or GED and no felony convictions. POST certification is preferred but not required. Applications are available on-line at www.tullahomatn.gov and at Tullahoma City Hall, 201 W. Grundy Street. Residency requirement of 15 road miles from the city limit or relocation within one year of employment. Applications can also be mailed to: City of Tullahoma Attn: Human Resources PO Box 807 Tullahoma, TN 37388.

TV Drug Free Workplace EEOC Title VI

Char-El Apartments
1, 2 & 3 Bedroom Duplexes & Condos Available w/ 1 & 2 Baths
• Central Heating & Air
• Carports & 1 Car Garage Units
• Laundry Facilities
• Lower Care Providers
• Well Lit Streets
• On-site Office
• Plus Much More
WE RENT COMMERCIAL SPACES
607 Woodbury Hwy. Manchester
931-728-7181
char-elapartments.com

POWERS STORAGE
Convenient Location
24 Hr. Camera Security
• On-Site Office
• No Limit on RV & Motorcycle Units Available
• Climate Controlled Units
• 4000 Square Ft.
• Low Monthly Rates
SEALED NOTHING'S PICKING MATERIALS
607 Woodbury Hwy. Manchester
931-728-7181
powersstorage.com

PUBLIC NOTICE

The Coffee County Board of Education will be selling five surplus buses from October 21, 2019 through January 30, 2020. Pictures and a description will be placed on GovDeals.com which is a government online auction service. All biding will take place through the on-line service. The winning bids will make payment to the Coffee County Board of Education prior to receiving product possession.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT MEETING NOTICE

The City of Manchester, Tennessee will hold a public meeting at 1:00 pm, October 30, 2019 in the boardroom of the Manchester City Hall, 200 W. Fort. St., Manchester, TN 37355. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the draft ADA Transition Plan. All interested persons or entities are encouraged to attend. The location is accessible to persons with disabilities. If you have questions regarding the meeting, please call (931) 723-1464.

NOTICE OF MEETINGS COFFEE COUNTY 9-1-1 DISTRICT BOARD AND COFFEE COUNTY-MANCHESTER-TULLAHOMA COMMUNICATIONS CENTER BOARD

Notice is hereby provided of meetings of the boards of directors of the Coffee County Communications Center at 4:30 p.m., and the Coffee County 911 Emergency Communications District (ECD) at 5:00 p.m., October 23, 2019 in the 911 Center Annex at 911 Jack Welch Drive, Manchester. District Board members may participate by electronic means. The public is welcome at all meetings.

JOE HINCH, Chairman of the 9-1-1 Board
CHAD PARTIN, Chairman of the CCC

Give the gift of life. Sign a donor card today

COFFEE COUNTY PURCHASING REQUEST FOR BIDS: Uniforms

Coffee County will be accepting sealed bids for Coffee County Sheriff Department employee uniforms. Specifications may be obtained at the Coffee County Purchasing Office, Coffee County Administrative Plaza, 1329 McArthur St., Suite 3, Manchester, TN 37355 or by calling (931) 952-2931. For any questions please contact Frank Watkins at the Coffee County Sheriff Department at (931) 570-4407. Please submit bids to the Purchasing Office in a sealed envelope clearly marked "Sheriff Department Uniforms" on or before 10:00 a.m. Tuesday, October 29, 2019. At which time they will be opened. No faxed or late bids will be accepted. Coffee County reserves the right to reject any and all bids. It is the policy of Coffee County, Tennessee to ensure compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; 49 CFR, Part 21. No person shall be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance on the grounds of race, color, sex, disability, or national origin.

Melissa Burton
Coffee County Purchasing Agent

INVITATION TO BID

THE CITY OF MANCHESTER, TENNESSEE WILL ACCEPT SEALED BIDS FOR SANITATION SERVICES. THE SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE FINANCE OFFICE AT 200 WEST FORT STREET, MANCHESTER, TN 37355. ALL BIDS MUST BE RETURNED WITH AN ORIGINAL AND A COPY, SEALED, TO THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S OFFICE NO LATER THAN 10:00 AM ON OCTOBER 29, 2019. THE CITY OF MANCHESTER RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ANY AND ALL BIDS.

IT IS THE POLICY OF THE CITY OF MANCHESTER, TENNESSEE TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE VI OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964; 49 CFR, PART 21. NO PERSON SHALL BE EXCLUDED FROM PARTICIPATION IN OR BE DENIED THE BENEFITS OF, OR BE SUBJECTED TO DISCRIMINATION UNDER ANY PROGRAM OR ACTIVITY RECEIVING FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE ON THE GROUNDS OF RACE, COLOR, SEX, AGE, DISABILITY, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN.

BRIDGET ANDERSON
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

APPENDIX R

RESOLUTION #85-2019 DATED 11/05/2019 – ADA TRANSITION PLAN ADOPTION

RESOLUTION NO. 85-2019

A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) TRANSITION PLAN FOR THE CITY OF MANCHESTER

WHEREAS 42 USC 126, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and the implementing regulations, 28 CFR 35, require cities with fifty (50) or more employees complete an ADA Transition Plan as defined therein; and

WHEREAS, the City of Manchester has been notified by the Tennessee Department of Transportation that it must adopt an ADA Transition Plan by December 2019 or risk losing Federal highway funds; and

WHEREAS the attached ADA Transition Plan has been prepared in accordance with the ADA and implementing regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN OF THE CITY OF MANCHESTER, TENNESSEE that the attached ADA Transition Plan is adopted as the City of Manchester, Tennessee ADA Transition Plan.

Resolved this 5 day of November 2019.

Lonnie Norman, Mayor

Bridget Anderson

Bridget Anderson, Finance Director